

## SF Keeps Kicking The Can Down The Road

**W**elcome to San Francisco in the year 2020. Mayor Lee has finally been termed out and is watching the new Mayor sweat the infrastructure problems Lee created building 30,000 housing units in six years. The new Mayor will quickly become the fall guy for the collapse of San Francisco's infrastructure.

San Francisco had built 1,500 housing units annually during the previous two decades, but Lee began adding 5,000 units annually during the six years beginning in 2014 — 30,000 units total.

Once upon a time, San Francisco's entire infrastructure (water, sewage, roads, general maintenance) was funded by the City's general fund. As City employee salaries grew higher and the number of City employees increased, San Francisco started deferring infrastructure payments to pay for its City employees.

Due to inadequate annual funding of capital improvements and deferred maintenance, City politicians have allowed public works to deteriorate. The City is forced to pass bond measures to pay for basic, routine infrastructure. The San Francisco PUC (SFPUC) utilizes revenue bonds — meaning the SFPUC pays for bonds by raising customer rates.

City officials have allowed San Francisco's infrastructure crisis to roll forward year after year. It's relatively easy for Mayor Lee to defer maintenance because the consequences are not apparent for many years. His failure to have publicly-available information on the condition and cost of deferred maintenance hides the problem. There is little public clamor and few advocates for increased spending on the City's capital needs.

The 30,000 units that the mayor will build cannot be supported by the City's current infrastructure.

Additionally, capital improvement bonds are a horrible way to finance deferred maintenance. Bond measures allocate 30% to 50% for deferred maintenance projects. In other words, property taxpayers are paying for deferred maintenance with 30 years of interest payments. The interest on these bond projects almost doubles the cost of each project.

Almost all of these capital improvement projects could have been addressed through regular annual appropriations; instead they are neglected and the money goes to City salaries.

Nearly one in three (12,504) of San Francisco's 39,122 City employees earned \$100,000 or more in total pay in the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2015 — a number that has been growing steadily for the past decade — and averaged \$141,703 in total pay. [Editor: The City creatively claims in has 27,000 to 29,000 employees, but that's a contrived number calculated by combining multiple part-time employees into single "full-time equivalents" to disguise the bloat in City government.] These salary amounts do not include the costs of often-generous City fringe benefits, including health care and pensions.

*Cont. to page 2*

## Presidents Message



CSFN President George Wooding speaks at the AHBP press conference.

**O**n Thursday, January 28th the Planning Commission voted 2 to 4 for an continuance on the **Affordable Housing Bonus Program (AHBP)** until February 25th.

Many attendees were surprised when Planning Commissioner Dennis Richards—the Commissioner who had professed so many reservations regarding AHBP—suddenly reversed his position on getting AHBP before the Board of Supervisors “as quickly as possible, like the Short Term Rentals legislation.”

At the many Community Presentations over the last few months, Planners continue to state that lack of clarity and ambiguities in the Program will have to be addressed after the legislation is passed.

CSFN thinks this approach is backwards. Due to the lack of affordable housing in San Francisco reaching crisis level, and with potential June ballot initiatives interfacing with the AHBP, all affordable housing policies need to be jointly considered at the Board level.

CSFN is urging delegates to turn out at Planning on 2/25 to again urge the Commissioners to disapprove the AHBP and return the Program to the attention of the Supervisors.

### **The Animal Care and Control Shelter (ACCS) is blindsided.**

At the January 25th meeting of the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) the ACCS' \$54 million portion of the bond was suddenly removed from the \$350 million 2016 Public Health and Safety Bond.

Animal lovers have tried for years to rebuild the current aging facility as it was too old, too small, non-seismically retrofitted facility. The City promised that the Public Health and Safety Bond would fund a new shelter.

Extraordinarily, capital bond descriptions of the original bond were passed out to meeting participants. Then the CPC announced that they had dumped the ACCS. This is very bad financial management and misrepresentation with no public transparency. The CPC had over a year to plan for this bond.

The ACCS money will go to Hospitals, mental health care and the

*Cont. to page 1*

## **President's Message** *Cont. from p. 1*

San Francisco Fire Department Ambulance Deployment Facility, all worthy causes

After CPC attendees were told that the ACCS was out of the bond, the CPC announced that the ACCS would be funded by certificates of participation (COPs).

(COPs) are tax-exempt bonds issued by state entities usually secured with revenue from an equipment or facility lease. COPs enable governmental entities to finance capital projects without technically issuing long-term debt.

The City has the ability to issue COPs without citizen participation and may never issue any COPs for the ACCS.

Perhaps it is time to say good-bye to ACCS' funding.

**Please send an email to City Supervisors requesting that they uphold an appeal for an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) on High-Technology buses using City bus stops. The emails must be received no later than Monday, February, 11th**

The City desperately needs an EIR on shuttle bus legislation. San Francisco is giving-away public bus stops to some of the wealthiest, private, for-profit corporations that the world has ever known with no environmental oversight. On Tuesday, February 11th our Board of Supervisors will vote to uphold an appeal for an EIR.

CSFN wants a comprehensive EIR on the displacement impacts of the commuter shuttles in San Francisco.

There are NO LIMITS on the number of shuttles that the SFMTA can permit.

There are NO LIMITS on the number of stops the private shuttles might be able to have

The demand for private access to public bus stops is likely to increase — Apple's new Cupertino campus will employ 13,000 people and another North San Jose campus, for which Apple paid \$165 million in cash, will employ 18,000. Other Silicon Valley companies are also expanding. What are the housing plans for the new employees?

Evidence indicates that the availability of private shuttles nearby drives up housing costs and contributes to evictions and displacements and the suburbanization of low- to middle-income people (so do they really get cars off the road?)

The shuttles obstruct access for senior citizens and people with disabilities.

According to the permanent program, shuttles of fewer than 35 feet might be able to operate on residential streets.

Shuttles now pay a small at-best revenue-neutral cost-recovery fee of \$3.67 per stop per day, while the companies (Google, Apple, Facebook, Genentech, LinkedIn, Yahoo, and others) that provide these free employee shuttles get TAX WRITE OFFs. You pay \$2.25 when you board Muni, and all other private vehicles that stop in public bus zones are fined \$271.

The majority of the shuttles are diesel buses

All parts of the program that involve stopping in public bus stops are AGAINST STATE LAW.

**Please attend the appeal of the permanent commuter shuttle bus program at SFMTA Finance Committee at 11:00 am on Tuesday, February 9th in room 263 at City Hall. The appeal will start at 3 p.m., but please arrive early. We expect many people to be there.**

AB61, Introduced on December 12, 2014 introduced by Travis Allen and then pulled from committee three times. Status: Dead. AB1641 and AB 25 are almost identical to AB61 and just introduced.

AB 1641, as introduced, Travis Allen. Shuttle services: loading and unloading of passengers.

AB 25, as introduced, Travis Allen. Shuttle services: loading and unloading of passengers. An act to amend Section 22500.5 of the Vehicle Code.

Under existing law, a person may not stop, park, or leave a vehicle standing alongside a curb space authorized for the loading or unloading of passengers of a bus engaged as a common carrier in local transportation when indicated by a sign or red paint on the curb, except that existing law allows local authorities to permit school buses to stop alongside these curb spaces upon agreement between a transit system operating buses as common carriers in local transportation and a public school district or private school.

This bill would also allow local authorities to permit shuttle service vehicles, as defined, to stop for the loading or unloading of passengers alongside these curb spaces upon agreement between a transit system operating buses engaged as common carriers in local transportation and a shuttle service provider, as defined. The bill would state that it is the intent of the Legislature to not replace public transit services.

— George Wooding

---

## **Kicking the Can** *Cont. from p. 2*

In November 2014, San Francisco voters passed Proposition K, a non-binding, "declaration of policy" which allowed the City to help construct or rehabilitate at least 30,000 homes or more by 2020. Policy declarations are non-binding because Mayors and City Supervisors are not bound to budget for them. Prop. K was also both redundant and unneeded, because Lee had already unilaterally declared it to be official City policy in his January 2014 State-of-the-City speech.

The City's deferred infrastructure cannot handle the massive growth Mayor Lee proposed and little of the new property taxes generated by the 30,000 units will be used to fund neighborhood infrastructure improvements.

San Franciscans can just smell the first whiff of a broken sewer line as they wait for a bus that is averaging five miles per hour. The MUNI bus is new, but cannot go faster than the traffic. When the bus does come 20 minutes late, it is full and trapped behind a Google bus and you have to walk into the street to board.

The SFMTA will need at least \$10 billion by 2030 just to maintain and possibly increase its service by up to 20% (very optimistic and very doubtful). By its own estimates, SFMTA will still have a \$3.3 billion shortfall by 2030. SFMTA bonds, taxes, general fund set-asides, vehicle license fees, increased ridership fares, parking meter rates, and traffic ticket citations have not been able to, and can't, support SFMTA's operations.

Without cars, the SFMTA would lose over 30% of its annual revenue. The SFMTA cannot afford to get rid of cars or it would go broke, rapidly.

According to a City transportation report, "Without investing in transportation infrastructure, San Francisco will have more than 600,000 vehicles added to its streets every day by 2040, which is more traffic than all the vehicles traveling each day on the Bay Bridge and Golden Gate Bridge combined. Caltrain ridership has grown by 60% in

the last decade. Ridership on Muni is projected to increase by 300,000 trips per day (or 43%) by 2040. Significant design measures need to be implemented to make it safer for cyclists and pedestrians to navigate San Francisco's heavily-trafficked streets."

Commercial shuttle "Google buses" will soon have upwards of 1.2 million shuttle buses stopping in Muni red zones, since SFMTA's Board of Director's approved making the shuttle program permanent on November 17, but creatively exempted the program from a full Environment Impact Review (EIR). [Editor: See accompanying article].

There is no more parking throughout the City and the asphalt/slurry on the roads is deteriorating to its lowest usable level ever. City roads used to be excellent and were rated at a pavement and road condition of 75 (good) in 1989. By 2009, due to 20 years of deferred maintenance, SF roads declined to a pavement condition of 64 (bad).

Terrible road conditions forced San Franciscans to pass the \$368 million 2009 Safe Streets and Road Repair Bond. The road repair was underfunded by approximately \$230 million and only \$209 million — just 56.8% of the \$368 million bond — went to street resurfacing and reconstruction. Ironically, after the bond money will be spent through 2018, San Francisco road ratings will only reach a pavement and road condition of 67.

Bicyclists are finding it harder and harder to run stoplights or stop signs each day. The 8% of people who are bicyclists are being hailed as environmental crusaders; however, the fast, young bicyclists hate car drivers, pedestrians, and out-of-shape, old, or pregnant bicyclists who block their bicycle lanes.

How funny: Once-unique neighborhood corridors have been homogenized. The natural character of each neighborhood and their individual businesses are disappearing. Every San Francisco transit corridor has begun to look the same: Surrounding buildings are taller, with a much higher population density. Remember, a transit corridor is considered to be 250 feet wide on both sides of the street.

The architectural design of these new buildings ranges from utilitarian to mediocre, at best. Dwelling sizes have been decreased and garages have been removed so that there can be more people crammed per square foot.

Drought or no drought, San Franciscans already have the lowest water consumption in California at 41.6 gallons per resident per day, yet water rates are sky rocketing. More people will lead to lower water-per-person consumption, but higher water rates.

San Francisco's sewer system is over 100 years old, and several component parts of the infrastructure were constructed in the 1800's. The sewer infrastructure is failing and in need of significant repair. Sewer conditions will probably threaten public health.

The SFPUC's Sewer System Improvement Program (SSIP) — another huge maintenance deferral — is the SFPUC's wastewater capital improvement program that includes multiple projects to improve the existing system.

Routine repairs are no longer sufficient to keep pace with San Francisco's aging and seismically vulnerable sewer infrastructure. It is important to invest now in larger capital improvements to avoid more costly emergency repairs, potential regulatory fines, and greater impacts on our communities. The longer upgrades are delayed, the more expensive they become. Another clear case of deferred maintenance.

The SSIP is the culmination of several years of wastewater system planning efforts, public meetings, and SFPUC Commission workshops to develop proposed improvements to deferred maintenance of San

Francisco's sewage system. The SSIP is expected to cost billions. The first phase of the SSIP is expected to cost \$2.78 billion, alone.

If you have gray hair and don't own your own home, you may also be disappearing — since City-backed developers need to convert your rental apartment into a condominium to make a profit. The Planning Department needs to charge developers higher permit fees to maintain its budget, and the City needs more density and buildings to generate more property tax revenue.

The more dwellings that can be demolished, rebuilt, or increased in density, the more income will be generated by the City. San Franciscans that stay in place in their own homes pay much less in property taxes than new owners.

Mayor Lee and the Board of Supervisors have just financially linked housing prices to residential units.

City government amended the Planning Code so that developers who build residential structures of 20 or more units throughout the City will have to pay an extra \$7.74 per square foot, per unit.

The City's old Transit Development Impact Fee (TDIF) applied to only commercial developments and PDR (production, design, and repair) facilities. Heretofore, the TDIF fees only came from downtown commercial developers to pay for transit.

The new TSF transit funding is an open door for financial misuse and abuse. TSF funds should be used for transit maintenance and repair only. However, the new TSF funds a complete streets component, enhancement and expansion of bicycle facilities, as well as pedestrian and other streetscape infrastructure to accommodate growth. The TSF is also responsible for maintaining the existing amount of sidewalk space per pedestrian in San Francisco.

This is why there are so many well-paid City employees and so much deferred maintenance

By charging residential housing developers a transportation fee, the City will collect an additional 40% more in transportation fees annually.

San Francisco's new TSF fee/tax will increase the price of larger residential projects by 2% to 3% per unit. The City hopes to increase transportation fee collection by \$480 million over the next 30 years.

The City will add 190,000 jobs and 100,000 homes by 2040, according to the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), but without improving public transit, traffic in the City could increase by 40%.

Other than as a source of revenue, cars have become the City's lowest priority.

The City set the TSF fee/tax by estimating how much development impacts transit in terms of cost, roughly \$31 per square foot, then balanced it with the results of a fiscal feasibility study that looked at what level fees would discourage development.

According to the TSF Financial Feasibility Report, the average residential base cost per square foot should be \$6.19; however, the City chose to increase TSF residential taxes by 125% to a tax of \$7.74 per square foot.

A brand new 750-square-foot, two-bedroom condominium just became \$5,805.00 more expensive, but comes with a bus that is late, full, broken, or never comes.

Look around you: There is deferred city maintenance everywhere! San Francisco's infrastructure cannot support the 30,000 dwellings that Mayor Lee is trying to build over the next five years. City Government is just kicking the can down the road.

— Submitted by George Wooding (MTHA)

## Draft Minutes for the General Assembly Meeting

**January 19, 2016**

1. Call to Order. President George Wooding brought the meeting to order at 7:05 pm in the Northern Police Station Community Room.
  - a. Quorum declared. 23 delegates and alternates represented 23 CSFN member organizations. Eight guests signed in.
  - b. Agenda approved.
  - c. Introduction of delegates and guests
  - d. Hosts: 1. Eileen Boken (SPEAK) reported on their history and concerns, including Land Use, Rec N Park, Palace of Fine Arts, Pavement to Playgrounds, and CEQA.
2. Christine Linnenbach (TPIA) talked about their continuing struggle with Sutro Tower and various illegalities including tree cutting that is forbidden but will be sanctioned.
  2. Officers' Reports.
    - a. President Wooding ( MTHA ) announced that ExCom will discuss membership of organizations, their composition and voting privileges and invited all concerned to attend.
    - b. 1st VP Morgan (CHNA) reported on possible changes in the Jan. 21 and Jan. 28 Planning commission meetings.
    - c. 2nd VP Vacant
    - d. Recording Secretary Head (SHARP) reminded the delegates to fill out all sections of membership renewal forms, especially the certification section, and stated associate members ( fewer than 35 ) have full participation except for voting. He attended Planning Commission meetings in December and Richmond District meetings in January launching two candidates for Supervisor, and helped organize the D-7 AHBP meeting on Jan. 12.
    - e. Corresponding Secretary Rogers (PMAC.) attended the Planning Commission meeting about 75 Howard and the D-7 meeting about AHBP.
    - f. Treasurer Scott (PHRA) Absent.
3. Committee Action Items
  - a. Executive – in NL.
  - b. Bylaws – Chair Claire Zvanski (EDIA.) – in NL: will meet again soon.
  - c. Dinner – Chair Marlayne Morgan (CHNA) reported that 122 people attended the evening at the Irish Cultural Center and we raised \$6300. A follow up meeting will be in June.
  - d. Government & Elections. Chair Charles Head (SHARP) reported that monthly meetings began in January and will continue at Taraval on Feb. 12 and March 11 to prep for the March GA to consider ballot measures for the June 7 Election. There is a state measure and several possible local ones.
  - e. Land Use. No chair. It will meet on the 4th Monday monthly at Taraval Police Station, 5-7pm.
  - f. Media Relations. No chair – no report.
  - g. Open Space. Nancy Wuerfel and Kathy Howard (SPEAK) –no report.
  - h. Transportation. Chair Mari Eliza (EMIA) spoke of focusing on the state level, meeting at Taraval Station, and extolling the website.
  - i. Water Task Force. Chair Joan Girardot (MCI&POA) No report

4. Approval of the Nov. 15 2015 GA minutes – they were approved unanimously.

5. Unfinished Business – There was discussion of the Private Shuttles and AB 61 that we wrote a reso against.

6. New Business – Eileen Boken (SPEAK) introduced a reso to be considered in February opposing any lodging proposals for the Palace of Fine Arts and limiting long term leases.

7. Program: Paul Webber ( THD ) reviewed the AHDP's history and present composition, after which Supervisor Kim spoke about her legislation on Inclusionary Housing. When she finished Q&A about it, Peter Cohen (CCHO) and Joseph Smook (HRC) reported on the meeting just concluded by Supervisor Tang at Sunset Recreation Center updating ADHP and took Q&A about it.

8. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at 9:31.

... Charles Head (SHARP) Recording Secretary

## Resolution re: Palace Of Fine Arts

**WHEREAS**, the Palace of Fine Arts site houses the historic rotunda, a performing arts theatre and an exhibition hall;

**WHEREAS**, the exhibition hall was the site of the Exploratorium from 1969 until 2013;

**WHEREAS**, the Recreation and Park Department (RPD) has sent out a Request for Concept Proposal (RFCP) to lease out the exhibition hall and theater on a long term basis with upgrades to the site being the responsibility of the leaseholder;

**WHEREAS**, the RFCP received seven submissions of which three submissions were selected by the RDP as finalists who can respond to the Request for Proposals (RFP) when issued;

**WHEREAS**, two of the finalists' proposals contain a for-profit lodging component in their proposal;

**WHEREAS**, the land and building are under the administration of the Recreation and Park Department and should therefore be used for recreation or open-space-oriented activities;

**WHEREAS**, a hotel is a commercial use that is unrelated to the mission of the Recreation and Park Department;

**WHEREAS**, opening up Recreation and Park land and buildings to a commercial use with nominal recreational components sets a dangerous precedent for all Recreation and Park properties;

**WHEREAS**, the 55 year lease sets an additional precedent that in effect removes Recreation and Park property from public use and control for a long period of time, when new needs and uses of the facility to serve the public could occur within that time period;

**WHEREAS**, San Francisco's population is increasing at a high rate, with projections of over 1 million residents in future years, and all of those residents are going to need open space and recreation opportunities;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that SPEAK (Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee) opposes any proposal which would result in a lodging facility being located at the site of the Palace of Fine Arts or leasing the site for the long term proposed, and urges the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods to join in opposing this proposal.

Submitted by Eileen Boken (SPEAK)

## Executive Committee Report January 2015

The CSFN ExCom met on January 27. The meeting was convened by Chair Marlayne Morgan at 5:35 pm at the Northern Police Station. All Officers were present and a quorum was established.

President Wooding spoke on the status of the Shuttle Bus legislation, continued for two weeks at the Board of Supervisors, the likelihood of a new bill to replace AB61 on shuttle buses at the State level, and the work of the CSFN AHBP task force leading up to the 1/28/16 Planning Commission hearing.

He also volunteered to serve as the Chair of the Land Use Committee, as there is currently a vacancy. Land Use and Transportation Committee will now meet the 4th Monday of each month at the Taraval Police Station, from 5-7 pm.

First VP Morgan discussed her concerns around the low number of member organizations attending the General Assembly meetings (averaging around 20 per meeting), the vacant officer and committee chair positions and the need for CSFN to start actively recruiting new members.

Recording Secretary Head spoke on his organization's concern with existing organizations meeting the eligibility requirements outlined in the Bylaws. He offered to draft a letter to be sent to all CSFN Presidents before the February General Assembly.

He also reported that the next Government and Elections Committee will be held on Friday Feb. 12 at 6pm at Taraval Station. G and E met on Jan. 15 to talk about upcoming ballot measures and will hear will hear from speakers about them this month and next before the March GA which will be devoted to those on the June ballot.

Treasurer Scott reported on the 2015 CSFN financial report, noting that even with paying past due bills from 2014, the organization shows a \$13,512.00 profit at year end (done on a cash basis).

The program for the February ExCom will be on the proposal to convert the Palace of Fine Arts to a hotel, with speakers from the Parks and Recreation Department and from neighbors near the Palace.

The Committee continued discussion on ensuring existing members and new members meet the eligibility requirements in the Bylaws. A letter will be sent to all Board Presidents requesting document be attached to the 2016 membership application. (see below) Also discussed were ongoing concerns around courteous behavior at General Assemblies, reminding the President to strictly enforce order during the meetings.

Under New Business, the Committee has nominated the following delegates to serve as members of the Nominating Committee:

Karen Breslin (MPIC)  
Kathy Devincenzi (LHIA)  
Choo Eng Grosso (SPEAK)  
Barbara Graham (PHRA)  
Leo Martinez (EDIA)  
Paul Webber (THD)

The Committee voted to send a contribution of \$100.00 to the Ruth Asawa Fund for the Arts, in memory of Aaron Shepard, son of Avrum Shepard, CSFN webmaster.

## COMMITTEE MEETINGS

**Bylaws** Chair Claire Zvanski, [czvanski@hotmail.com](mailto:czvanski@hotmail.com). Ongoing Meetings.

**Gov't & Elections** Chair Charles Head. [charleshead@hotmail.com](mailto:charleshead@hotmail.com). 6pm, second Friday, Taraval Police Station.

**Land Use & Housing** No Chair. 5 pm, 4th Monday. Northern Police Station

**Open Space** Chair Nancy Wuerfel, [nancenum1@aol.com](mailto:nancenum1@aol.com), 731-6432, Co-chair Ramona Albright, 621-9621. Ongoing meetings.

**Transportation** Chair Mari Eliza, [mari.eliza@sbcglobal.net](mailto:mari.eliza@sbcglobal.net) 5 pm, 4th Monday, Northern Police Station.

**Water Task Force** • Chair Joan Girardot, [csfnwatertaskforce@gmail.com](mailto:csfnwatertaskforce@gmail.com), 346-5525. Ongoing meetings.

## ExComm—How to Reach Us:

**President:** George Wooding • [gswooding@gmail.com](mailto:gswooding@gmail.com) • 695-1395

**1st VP:** Marlayne Morgan • [marlayne16@gmail.com](mailto:marlayne16@gmail.com)

**Recording Secretary:** Charles Head • [charleshead@hotmail.com](mailto:charleshead@hotmail.com)

**Corresponding Secretary:** Glenn Rogers • [alderlandscape@comcast.net](mailto:alderlandscape@comcast.net)

**Treasurer:** Greg Scott • [lgscpa@icloud.com](mailto:lgscpa@icloud.com)

**Member-at-Large:** Penny Clark • [penelopeclark@yahoo.com](mailto:penelopeclark@yahoo.com)

**Member-at-Large:** Barbara Graham • [barb.graham.sf@gmail.com](mailto:barb.graham.sf@gmail.com)

**Member-at-Large:** Lorraine Lucas • [wozopozo@pacbell.net](mailto:wozopozo@pacbell.net)

**Parliamentarian:** vacant    **Sergeant-At-Arms:** vacant

---

NEIGHBORHOOD VIEWS is published monthly, the official voice of the Coalition for SF Neighborhoods, Inc., a 501(c)4 organization.

To Submit Articles: Email articles by the 3rd (third) of the month to: Newsletter Editor (2nd VP) in Microsoft Word-compatible document (i.e. no pdf's) in-line or as attachment. Articles reflect the opinions of the submitter, not necessarily the opinion of the CSFN. We invite material from member organizations as well as rebuttal to articles already printed. We reserve the right to edit where necessary. Member organizations may receive two copies of the newsletter without charge. Subscription: Members/\$10, Non-members/\$15.

## CORRECTIONS TO NEWSLETTER

Turn in written submissions to ExCom Chair ([marlayne16@gmail.com](mailto:marlayne16@gmail.com))

## Letter to Presidents of CSFN Member Organizations

To all Presidents of Coalition For San Francisco Neighborhoods Member Organizations;

The CSFN Executive Committee is asking you, as your neighborhood organization's President, to help maintain the transparency and accountability of all of the CSFN member organizations as you renew your membership this year.

Each CSFN member organization president will receive this letter.

This letter is in accordance with CSFN Bylaws Sec III.E.

Please fill out every field on the CSFN Member Renewal Form.

Please also answer as many of the ten following items as you are able.

Include (or attach this to an email) when you send CSFN your completed Member Renewal Form:

1. Date of your neighborhood organization's incorporation: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Date of issue of your neighborhood organization's Federal Taxpayer ID Number: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Date of registration with the California Secretary of State: \_\_\_\_\_
4. List of your neighborhood organization's current officers:

---

---

---

---

---

5. Location and number of your neighborhood organization's meetings per year: \_\_\_\_\_

---

6. Minutes of its last meeting (please attach): \_\_\_\_\_

7. The geographic boundaries of your organization: \_\_\_\_\_

---

8. Your neighborhood organization's Bylaws (if not on your website): (please attach)

9. Your neighborhood organization's social media outlets, if any:

---

---

10. Your neighborhood organization's current number of members (names and addresses are optional): \_\_\_\_\_

The goal of each of CSFN's member organizations is to improve its neighborhood and increase its presence and awareness within it (CSFN Bylaws III.E.).

It is the responsibility of your organization's CSFN delegates to attend CSFN General Assembly meetings, vote and adhere to the CSFN Bylaws (Bylaws III.H.) as well as the Practices and Procedures of Parliamentary Authority (Section X.).

Best Wishes for a productive year for you, your organization and our Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods!



# Member Renewal

**Name of Organization** \_\_\_\_\_

**Mailing Address** \_\_\_\_\_ **SF 941** \_\_\_\_\_ **Contact E-mail** \_\_\_\_\_

**Current Officers**

| Name  | Office | Address (with ZIP) | Email Address | Phone |
|-------|--------|--------------------|---------------|-------|
| _____ | _____  | _____              | _____         | _____ |
| _____ | _____  | _____              | _____         | _____ |
| _____ | _____  | _____              | _____         | _____ |

**CSFN Delegate**

| Name  | Address (with ZIP) | Email Address | Phone |
|-------|--------------------|---------------|-------|
| _____ | _____              | _____         | _____ |

**If your organization has alternate CSFN delegates:**

| Name  | Address (with ZIP) | Email Address | Phone |
|-------|--------------------|---------------|-------|
| _____ | _____              | _____         | _____ |
| _____ | _____              | _____         | _____ |

NEWSLETTER MAILING LIST – Each member is entitled to receive two copies of CSFN’s monthly newsletter Neighborhood Views as part of their membership fee. The first copy goes to the delegate and the second to a person designated by the organization. A member of an organization’s Board of Directors may subscribe to the CSFN newsletter at a rate of \$10 per year. Other persons may subscribe at \$15 per year. If you prefer to view the newsletter online or download it from the website www.csfn.net/newsletter check the box below and leave the following lines blank. Additional paid copies: use the back of this page. Include address and zip codes.

Email us the newsletter to following email address(es): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Do **not** send us a hard copy.  
 Send a hard copy to:

| Name  | Office | Address (with ZIP) | Phone |
|-------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| _____ | _____  | _____              | _____ |

**DUES, DONATIONS AND NEWSLETTER SUBSCRIPTIONS**

CSFN Annual Membership dues are \$45. Organizations wishing to include an additional donation to CSFN are encouraged to do so. Please add \$10 for each additional newsletter subscription for your organization’s board members and \$15 for other members. Make check payable to CSFN and mail to CSFN POBox 320098 SF CA 94132.

|                                   |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Annual Membership Dues: \$45.     | _____ |
| Newsletter Subscriptions: @ \$10. | _____ |
| Newsletter Subscriptions: @ \$15. | _____ |
| Additional Donation               | _____ |
| Total to CSFN                     | _____ |
| <b>Thank You!</b>                 |       |

CSFN Membership Certification

CSFN Bylaws (Article II, Section A-G) require each voting member organization to certify that it has a membership of 35 or more in order to maintain voting privileges. Organizations not having the required membership are encouraged to join or retain membership as associate members without voting privileges.

Member Organization (35 or more members) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Associate (non-voting) Member Organization \_\_\_\_\_  
 Certifying Signature \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address if not listed above \_\_\_\_\_

**CSFN Annual Membership Fee is Due in January. If not received by March 1, voting rights will be withdrawn.**



## FEBRUARY 16, 2016 • CSFN GENERAL ASSEMBLY AGENDA

- 6:30** I. Sign In and Refreshments
- 7:00** II. Call to Order/ Ascertain Quorum
  - A. Introduction of Delegates and Guests / Short Announcements
  - B. Hosts
    - 1. Haight Ashbury Improvement Association (HAIA)
    - 2. Marina Civic Improvement and Property Owners Association (MCIPOA)
- 7:15** III. Officers' Reports
  - A. President
  - B. Vice Presidents
  - C. Secretaries
  - D. Treasurer
- 7:30** IV. Committee Action Items—written reports in Newsletter
  - A. Executive
  - B. Bylaws
  - C. Dinner
  - D. Government & Elections
  - E. Land Use & Housing
  - F. Media Relations
  - G. Open Space
  - H. Transportation
  - I. Water Task Force
- 7:45** V. Approval of January 19, 2016 Minutes
- 8:00** VI. Unfinished Business
- 8:15** VIII. Program- The Future of the Palace of Fine Arts
  - SF Parks and Recreation Department
  - Joan Girardot (MCIPOA)
- 8:45** VII. New Business
  - Resolution on the Palace of Fine Arts
  - Nominating Committee 2016
- 9:15** IX. Adjournment