



## Proposed FY 2015–2018 Water and Sewer Rates

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission is once again proposing higher rates for water and wastewater service and to fund its ongoing capital programs. We are currently in the 5<sup>th</sup> year of a 5-year rate cycle during which rates have risen every year. The Commission adopted the current rates in May 2009, effective July 1, 2009.

The staff-proposed rates, if adopted by the Commission in May 2014, will take effect July 1, 2014. The proposed rates are for a 4-year cycle, until July 1, 2018. Residential retail rates are proposed to rise 8.5% each year for 4 years; about 40%. So if you have a \$100.00 monthly bill now, you will pay about \$140.00 in FY 2017–2018. In 2018 and for years thereafter, rates will rise even more, as we ramp up the sale of revenue bonds to finance the gigantic \$7.0 Billion Sewer System Improvement Program.

At our Feb. 18<sup>th</sup> CSFN meeting, SFPUC staff will present their reasons for the proposed rate hikes for the next 4 years. CSFN Water Task Force is pleased to host Todd Rydstrom, SFPUC Chief Financial Officer, accompanied by Tyrone Jue, Director of Communications, who will make the case for the new rates.

Utility rate-setting is an extremely complicated endeavor. Rate administrators spend their working lives becoming expert in this field. How can the average ratepayer possibly determine whether proposed rate hikes are reasonable, necessary, and fair?

State Proposition 218 requires that all fees imposed by a public agency must not be any greater than the “cost of service” to the individual. The Bighorn-Desert View State Supreme Court Case clarified that water and sewer rates are property-related fees and

subject to Prop. 218. Therefore, rates cannot exceed the true cost of delivering water and wastewater service to the individual property.

The Water Task Force has been studying SFPUC issues for years and will ask questions of the presenters to clarify issues. The Water Task Force championed the adoption of capacity fees, which assure that new development pays its own way. How much revenue has been collected and how has it been spent since implementation in 2007? The Water Task Force in its Fight the Family Tax campaign fought against adoption of tiered rates. Recent Court decisions ruled that tiered rates are illegal under Prop 218. Why is the SFPUC waiting until 2017–2018 to adopt a uniform rate?

How does the SFPUC calculate the true cost of service to deliver one unit of water and treat one unit of residential wastewater? What is the nexus between the cost of service and the “Revenue Requirements?” Are there embedded in the proposed revenue requirements the cost of programs that are *not* core to the mission of the agency? Is the PUC doing too many things that do not benefit all ratepayers and are not core to its mission: funding Community Benefits Programs, paying for the wiggle, transferring rate-payer money to General Fund Departments, giving millions of dollars in grants to Rec & Park, paying non-profits, offering job training, buying refillable water bottles for the SFUSD, supporting the Southeast Community Center, and spending tens of millions of dollars on art.

The Water Task Force invited the SFPUC to write an article presenting their best case for raising rates at this time. Harlan Kelly, SFPUC General Manager submitted the following article.

— Joan Girardot (MCI/POA) Chair, Water Task Force

---

## Continuing Our Investments in Our Water and Sewer Systems

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission delivers high quality water, generates clean hydropower, and collects and treats wastewater for the residents and businesses of San Francisco. We deliver these services 24 hours a day, while protecting the diverse Bay Area environment, community and economic interests that we all value. Our system operations and maintenance are 100 percent funded from the monthly bills you pay.

Nationwide, utilities are grappling with the problem of aging infrastructure. Fortunately, we’ve been hard at work implementing and planning for investments in our own water and sewer systems. These investments improve our resiliency to respond to the next earthquake, drought, or even the next Rim Fire. Over the past year, we have worked with an independent

rate panel and outside consultant, to determine that an average 8–9% increase in water and sewer bills is required to run our operations, and continue investing for today and our future.

Most of the proposed increases are funding our continuing work on the \$4.6 billion Hetch Hetchy Water System Improvement Program (WSIP). About a decade into one of the nation’s largest water infrastructure programs, we are about 80% complete, and still trending very close to our original program budget. This is an amazing accomplishment for a program of this size with very complex projects. Our 2.6 million Bay Area customers are getting a reliable water supply lifeline that will help us restore system service for drinking and firefighting within 24 hours after a major earthquake. In addition, our improvements also will allow us to restore system storage

(cont’d on p.4)

# Executive Committee Report

**January 29**

Acting Chair Judy Berkowitz called the meeting to order at 5:56 pm. Present were Charles Head, Penelope Clark, Paul Webber, Claire Zvanski. Excused: George Wooding, Rose Hillson, Melinda LaValle. Unexcused: Dick Millet. There was a quorum. Four guests attended.

## Officers' Reports

**President Judy Berkowitz.** Welcomed Parliamentarian Claire Zvanski to her first ExComm meeting. • Spoke at the Planning Commission hearing on recirculated Housing Element EIR. The meeting was well attended. Kathy Devincenzi and Rose Hillson distilled main points. Deadline for comments was extended by 15 days. • Reminded attendees of the fundraiser/birthday event for Sue Hestor on February 1. • Noted Denise D'Anne and her OpEd: <http://www.sfexaminer.com/sanfrancisco/make-muni-a-priority-in-sweeping-transportation-plan/Content?oid=2685107>

**1<sup>st</sup> Vice President George Wooding.**

**2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President Rose Hillson.**

**Recording Secretary Charles Head.**

**Corresponding Secretary/Treasurer Dick Millet.**

**Unfinished Business.** 1481 Post Street Resolution submitted by the Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association will be voted on.

**New Business.** At-large ExComm member Paul Webber (THD) has ideas on how to relieve Berkowitz of the burden of planning and execution of the Annual Dinner. He purposed a Dinner Committee that will be responsible for running various aspects of the event. The ExComm unanimously recommended approval of the committee formation to the General Assembly. This ad hoc committee will be announced and decided at the February GA.

ExComm nominated the following delegates to serve on the 2014 Nominating Committee:

Mary Harris (OMI-NIA)	Karen Breslin (MPIC)
Nancy Wuerfel (SPEAK)	Sarah Taber (RHN)
Mari-Eliza (EMIA)	Kathy Howard (SPEAK)
Risa Teitelbaum (LHNA)	Karen Crommie (CVIA)
Shari Steiner (LHNA)	Rae Doyle (GWPNA)
Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA)	Kris Schaeffer (UTA)
Joelle Kenealey (OMMRA)	

Further nominations to the Nominating Committee will be taken at the Feb GA meeting at which time we will vote for three delegates to serve on that committee.

**Program.** Girardot and Lucas urged ExComm to agendize the SFPUC as the February Program because water rates will be set in March or April for the next 5 years. There may also be drought rates. It was decided that the PUC would give a presentation to the general assembly. Joan will contact the PUC speakers. • Paul Webber had Don McDonald lined up as a speaker for the Feb meeting regarding Golden Gate Bridge, but the timeliness of the water rate increase was deemed too important to pass up. • SFMTA will need to be scheduled as a GA Program very soon.

A long discussion ensued concerning CSFN Bylaws Article III.§I.

Having no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:55.

— George Wooding (MTHA) Chair — 2 —

# Transportation Committee Report

This month the SFMTA is hammering almost everyone with plans which they are trying to get passed so they can slow down traffic more and get more transit users to walk and bike instead of relying on public transportation. At least that is what appears to be going on. If you have anything to add or links you want to include please send info to: [mari@abazaar.com](mailto:mari@abazaar.com)

**Email Writing Campaigns – City:** Please keep those posts coming. We are adding state contacts to this list as we are concerned about the bills the SFMTA and Bicycle Coalition are trying to push through Sacramento. Please send us anything you have on any bills you know of, and we will add them to our list of issues. <http://discoveryink.wordpress.com/letters-and-comments/san-francisco-officials>

**Email Writing Campaigns – State:** Many people in San Francisco, including cyclists, feel that the bike paths in the city that do not conform to state design standards are dangerous and confusing. Assemblymember Phil Ting, prodded by the California State Bicycle Coalitions, introduced Assembly Bill 1193 that would legitimize what they admit are the “illegal bike paths” in San Francisco. See the article that ran in the SF Bay Guardian and sf.streetsblog: <http://metermadness.wordpress.com/2014/01/31/san-francisco-and-its-cycletracks-lead-the-way-toward-safer-biking-statewide/>

If you object to this law (Assembly Bill 1193) to legalize the illegal bike lanes in San Francisco, let Phil Ting and the state representatives know about it now: [Contacts here](#). And let your city officials know: [Contacts here](#) Please share this with your friends and associates in California who may not know about this bill.

**Residential Parking Permits Meeting:** We had a good meeting attended by folks from all over the city who are concerned about the way the residential parking permit program is being administered; people are planning future actions.

**Thursday February 6, 5:30 PM**

**SFMTA CAC – CAC Agenda 02-06-2014**

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor, SF CA

Contact CAC Secretary, Yvette Torres: [yvette.torres@sfmta.com](mailto:yvette.torres@sfmta.com)

Regular Agenda Item 8. Discussion and possible action regarding a recommendation from the Engineering, Maintenance and Safety Committee (EMSC). Motion 140122.01. **Testing lane closure effects on Masonic under consideration.**

The motion was amended to read: The SFMTA CAC recommends that on Masonic Avenue between Geary and Fell Streets that the peak hour restrictions be temporarily repealed and signals retimed per the Masonic plan, as a pilot project, with the objective to measure traffic impacts on the 43 Masonic prior to the implementation of the Masonic Avenue street design project, for sufficient time for data to be collected not to exceed 12 months. *The motion was amended. The amendment passed, but the amended motion failed.*

Some of us want the SFMTA to conduct tests with modified traffic controls and proper lighting and signage prior to finalizing any streetscape plans or alterations on the major arterial streets that move regional traffic through the city.

**Friday February 14, 10 AM**

**SFMTA Sustainable Streets Division - Item 8 – Potrero Plan [Agenda](#)**

San Francisco City Hall, Room 416 – Direct your comments to: :

[sustainable.streets@sfmta.com](mailto:sustainable.streets@sfmta.com) [Agenda Order # 5221](#) Item 8(a) through 8(m) – Establish all the parking restrictions and lane removals and all detailed street modifications in the Potrero Plan. *Please note that all these changes appear to be going through the Engineering Department prior to any EIR determinations.* The purpose of traffic engineering hearings for the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Sustainable Streets Division is for residents to express their concerns regarding the posted parking and traffic changes affecting their streets. At the hearing, the public hearing officer, after reviewing the items and listening to residents, will make a decision as to whether the items are approved or not. Any interested parties are encouraged to attend. *(Send your comments to the Supervisors and all other pertinent parties noted here: )*

**TEP and Potrero Plan** – We hope to unite the TEP and Potrero plan and work out a solution everyone is comfortable supporting. That is the only thing on the agenda. We are working on having a presence at the Friday Engineering SFMTA Sustainable Streets meeting. Download details here:

[discoveryink.wordpress.com/letters-and-comments/san-francisco-officials/](http://discoveryink.wordpress.com/letters-and-comments/san-francisco-officials/)

— Mari-Eliza (EMIA) Chair

# CSFN Draft Minutes: General Assembly Meeting January 21, 2014

1. **Call to Order.** President **Judith Berkowitz** brought the Jan. 21 meeting to order at 7:00 in the Northern Police Station Community Room.
  - a. *Quorum declared.* 26 delegates and alternates represented 19 CSFN member organizations. Three guests signed in.
  - b. *Agenda approved.*
  - c. *Introductions.* Delegates and guests introduced themselves/made short announcements.
  - d. *Host:* Dick Millet of Protrero Boosters (PBNA) provided the sandwiches and personally made the salad! The assembled delegates registered their approval
2. **Minutes.** The November General Assembly Draft Minutes on page 3 of the January *Neighborhood Views* were approved.
3. **Officers' Reports.**
  - a. *President Berkowitz* (EMIA). Sue Hestor's birthday is on Saturday Feb 1. There will be a surprise party and fundraiser for her at Delancey St. Restaurant. • The CSFN holiday party was a success: Melinda LaValle (CHNA) and Paul Webber (THD) both got their orgs to sponsor *and* are personal sponsors. • George Wooding secured two new sponsors; Dick Millet personally sponsored a ? table. • Thanked George for reserving the N. Stn Community Room for the coming year's GA and ExComm meetings. Dates for the meetings are in the bulletin on p.7. • ATT above-ground facilities cabinets hearing was on Jan. 9, but was nothing but a feel-good hearing for the supe who called it, and a gigantic waste of time and both personal and taxpayer money for everyone else because nothing can be done about these boxes. • Claire Zvanski has graciously accepted Berkowitz' invitation to be CSFN Parliamentarian. • The Commonwealth Club event and discussion of Private takeover of public spaces and public responsibilities was a great success; see p.5 Jan *Neighborhood Views*. • New Planning Commission rules were passed just before winter break; these further limit public participation. • *Strongly* encouraged everyone to get on the Planning Dept's new Notice list. [signup.sfplanning.org](http://signup.sfplanning.org) See p.4 January *Neighborhood Views*.
  - b. *1<sup>st</sup> VP Wooding* (MTHA). SFMTA will put a billion dollar bond on the ballot in the Nov. election. • No money was donated to 2013 Prop B because the campaign has closed its books. See p.2 January *Neighborhood Views*.
  - c. *2<sup>nd</sup> VP Hillson* (JPIA).
  - d. *Recording Secretary Head* (SHARP). The Nov. minutes are on p. 3 Jan *Neighborhood Views*.
  - e. *Corresponding Secretary/Treasurer Millet* (PBNA).
4. **Committee Reports.**
  - a. *Bylaws.* Chair **Claire Zvanski** (EDIA)
  - b. *Government & Elections.* Chair **Charles Head** (SHARP) announced that there will be a meeting soon to consider the June ballot measures.
  - c. *Land Use.* Chair **Hiroshi Fukuda** (RCA) alerted us all to the Thursday Planning Commission Draft EIR revision Hearing on Thursday Jan.23 and urged our attendance to protest insufficient notice and request a continuance. Rose wrote an email via Judy to all re: height, density alterations to the Housing Element. An appeal on variances of 1601 Larkin will be held next Wednesday; a Masonic Ave. vote will be held by the Board of Supervisors.
  - d. *Media Relations.* Acting Chair **Eileen Boken** (SPEAK)
  - e. *Open Space.* **Kathy Howard** (SPEAK)
  - f. *Transportation.* Chair **Mari Eliza** (EMIA) directed delegates to the report on p. 2. The Bike Coalition is in favor of keeping Sunday Parking enforcement to discourage car use and noted bikes interfere with buses. The mayor's office has revised the amount of money needed for roads, and yet 22% of it will be devoted to bikes.
  - g. *Water Task Force.* Chair **Joan Girardot** (MCI&POA) said the Rate Fairness Board will meet Friday Jan. 31 and the next two Fridays and we should attend (ratepayers rarely do).
5. **Unfinished Business.**
6. **New Business.** Resolution Opposing Zoning Changes (Spot Zoning) for Proposed 1481 Post Street Luxury Condominium Tower (Cathedral Hill Neighbors). See p.6 Jan *Neighborhood Views*.  
**Resolved**, that the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods urges the Planning Commission to uphold the current zoning and deny this (proposed 1481 Post Street Luxury Condominium Tower) application.  
*Item continued to February GA meeting*
7. **Program. Recreation & Open Space Element (ROSE)**  
Planning's Sue Exline and Karrengoh spoke of the new update to maintain existing open space, serve diversity, enhance access, balance biodiversity, engage the community, and generate revenue.  
Golden Gate Heights' Sally Stephens, joined by Kathy Howard and Dennis Mosgofian criticized points over transparency, accountability and encouraging pay-to-play. Both they and CSFN have submitted written comments on ways to improve the ROSE.
8. **Adjournment.** The meeting ended at 9:45.  
— *Charles Head (SHARP) Recording Secretary*

## Committee Meetings

**Bylaws:** Chair Claire Zvanski • czvanski@hotmail.com  
**Land Use & Housing:** Monday 5:30PM March 10 • Northern Police Station • Chair Hiroshi Fukuda • ninersam@aol.com • 386-2212  
**Gov't & Elections:** Chair Charles Head • charlesnhead@hotmail.com  
**Media Relations:** Acting Chair Eileen Boken • aeboken@gmail.com  
**Open Space:** Ongoing Meetings • Chair Nancy Wuerfel • nancenum1@aol.com • 731-6432, Co-chair R Albright • 621-9621  
**Transportation:** • • Chair Mari Eliza • mari@abazaar.com  
**Water Task Force:** Chair Joan Girardot • 346-5525

# Land Use & Housing Committee Report

Hiroshi Fukuda convened the Regular Meeting of the CSFN Land Use & Housing Committee on Monday, February 10, 2014 at 5:50 P.M. in the Northern Police Station Community Room.

The Committee considered the following items

## 1. Recirculation of Portions of the 2004 and 2009 Housing Element Draft EIR (Chapter VII Alternatives)

Comments should be limited to the recirculated sections of the EIR in accordance with Section 15088.5(f)(2) of the CEQA Guidelines. **Kathy Devincenzi forcefully informed the Planning Commission and Planning Department that the Court ruled that comments all Alternatives could be commented during the comment period, and that the hearing needed to be re-noticed properly and comment period extended for another 30–60 days.** The Planning Commission voted to not re-notice the hearing, but Sarah Jones, the Environmental Review Officer, was told to consider extending the comment period. Ms Jones eventually agreed to extend the comment period another 15 days. Written comments should be addressed to Sarah B. Jones, Environmental Review Officer, San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco CA 94103 or [sarah.b.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:sarah.b.jones@sfgov.org). Comments that were received at the public hearing and in writing will be responded to in a Response to Comments document.

Copies of the revised Chapter VII Alternatives along with the full text of the EIR are available on the Planning Department website ([tinyurl.com/sfcecagadocs](http://tinyurl.com/sfcecagadocs)), and CDs and paper copies are available at the Planning Information Center (PIC) counter on the first floor of 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco.

2. **1634–1690 Pine** The proposed project would merge the six lots into one parcel, demolish most of the existing five buildings on the project site, and construct one building with two 13-storey residential towers with commercial use on the ground and second floors. The existing building façades of three of the buildings would be restored and incorporated into the proposed project. The proposed building would have a total area of 353,360 gross square feet (gsf) and would include approximately 262 new residential units totaling approximately 221,760 sq ft; 5,600 sq ft of commercial space, and 34,600 sq ft of subterranean parking with 245 parking spaces on one level and 91 bicycle parking spaces. No off-street loading spaces are proposed. The proposed towers would be approximately 130 feet tall. Each of these two towers would have an elevator shaft. The project would have zero-lot-line setbacks along Pine and Franklin Streets.

Project is code-complying, but does it improve and enhance the neighborhood. Will there be any variances e.g. bulk, open space, window exposure?

3. 1601 Larkin St. Appeal [sfmea.sfplanning.org/2011.1306E.DEIR.pdfAppeal](http://sfmea.sfplanning.org/2011.1306E.DEIR.pdfAppeal) of variances on bulk, open space, and window exposure was heard by Board of Appeals on January 29, 2014. Board determined the Zoning Administrator did not abuse his powers in approving the variances, Appeal was denied. This project provides 26 very high-end condos, NOT what SF needs!
4. Citywide Tenant Convention held last week was well attended by about 500 people, including six supervisors (John Avalos, David Campos, David Chiu, Malia Cohen, Jane Kim, and Eric Mar. Expect ballot propositions to protect renters from evictions and measures to provide affordable housing this year. The convention was the culmination of a series of neighborhood meetings across the city, and is a sign that a re-energized tenant movement is going to change local politics this year. There were so many young people, so much energy, such a feeling that the epidemic of evictions that is transforming communities is not inevitable. That it's possible to fight back, and win. [48hillsonline.org/](http://48hillsonline.org/)

The next Regular meeting of the Committee will be held on Monday, March 10, 2014 at 5:30 p.m. in the Community Room of the Northern Police Station located at Turk and Fillmore.

Adjourned: 7:05 PM

— Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA) Chair

# Water & Sewer Rates *(cont'd from p.1)*

capacity and diversify our local water supplies to improve our ability to withstand future droughts.

Building off the success of the WSIP, we are also starting to focus on upgrades needed to our City's aging sewer system. Many components of the sewer system are past their useful life: more than 60 percent of the system's 1,000 miles of pipes are more than 80 years old and the City's main treatment facility requires seismic upgrades.

Currently, the Rate Fairness Board, representing different local ratepayer groups, is reviewing our new rate proposal. Proposition E, approved by San Francisco voters on November 5, 2002, established a Rate Fairness Board to advise the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission on water, power and sewer rate matters. The Rate Fairness Board reviews our five-year rate forecast and holds public hearings on rate recommendations before our Commission adopts rates. They also provide a report and recommendations to our Commission.

These recommendations as well as the proposed rate package will be presented to the SFPUC Commission on April 22, 2014 for review and to be considered for adoption by our Commission on May 13, 2014. If the rate package is approved, it will be submitted to the SF Board of Supervisors for a 30-day review period. If adopted, the new rates will be in effect starting July 1, 2014.

Todd Rydstrom, Assistant General Manager, Business Services, and Chief Financial Officer along with Tyrone Jue, Director of Communications, will be discussing the detailed rate proposal at your meeting on February 18<sup>th</sup>. I hope you can attend.

I encourage everyone to remain engaged and informed about our water and sewer systems. We offer tours so you can see first-hand the work that is being performed each and every day. To stay up to date with the rate-setting process, please visit [www.sfwater.org/rates](http://www.sfwater.org/rates) or email [ratesinfo@sfwater.org](mailto:ratesinfo@sfwater.org).

— Harlan Kelly General Manager, SFPUC  
(Submitted by Joan Girardot (MCI/POA) Chair, Water Task Force)

## 75 Howard Update

The oversized luxury condo high-rise proposed for the Embarcadero at 75 Howard Street is being delayed. It was scheduled to come before the Planning Department in mid-March. We will let you know when a new date has been set.

The New York developer has made changes. It is reported that it has reduced the height somewhat to 281-feet (plus a 17-foot tower/mechanical penthouse). 281-foot height would still be 40% over the height limit and higher than neighboring buildings. It's more than twice the height of 8 Washington.

It is our opinion that the 200-foot height limit is too tall anyway. That is also the limit of the inland parcel adjacent to 75 Howard, so these height limits seem to ignore requirements that buildings step down towards the bay.

Also, the developers no longer plan to help themselves to the small city-owned triangular parcel in front of their project.

How this project plays out will tell us if the Planning Commission is now listening to the people of San Francisco after 8 Washington was rejected.

— Dave Osgood (RHNA)

# Response to Mayor's Transportation Task Force Report

At our January 21st CSFN meeting, Jerry Cauthen of SaveMuni pointed out some of SaveMuni objections to the transportation plan put forward by the Mayor's hand-picked task force of city officials, labor union leaders, downtown business representatives and other special interest groups. SaveMuni notes that the plan allocates too much to bicycle facilities and "street enhancement," too little to Muni, and pays no attention to the significant demographic changes destined to affect San Francisco between now and 2040. Of the less than half of the total that would go to Muni, much was allocated to TEP changes of marginal usefulness and to such vague and ill-defined projects as "Muni Transit Fixed Guideway" and "SFMTA Facilities."

If, as expected, the General Obligation Bond and Vehicle License Fee increase needed to finance the Plan is placed on the November ballot, you will soon begin to see and hear the usual well-financed hype.

How you can help: Send email to the Supervisors asking for a more thoughtful approach than this for San Francisco's transportation future.

— Submitted by Judy Berkowitz (EMIA)

## Excerpt from the New Planning Commission Rules

A presentation of the proposal by the Project Sponsor(s) team (includes sponsor (or their designee), lawyers, architects, engineers, expeditors, and/or other advisors) would be for a period not to exceed (strike 15) 10 minutes, unless a written request for extension not to exceed a total presentation time of 15 minutes is received at least 72 hours in advance of the hearing, through the Commission Secretary, and granted by the President or Chair.

c. A presentation of opposition to the proposal by organized opposition for a period not to exceed (strike 15) 10 minutes (or a period equal to that provided to the project sponsor team) with a minimum of three (3) speakers. The intent of the 10 min block of time provided to organized opposition is to reduce the number of overall speakers that share similar concerns. The requestor should advise the group that the Commission would expect the organized presentation to represent their testimony, if granted. Organized opposition will be recognized only upon written application at least 72 hours in advance of the hearing, through the Commission Secretary, the President or Chair, to the President (through the Commission Secretary) prior to the hearing.

— Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA)



# THANK YOU TO OUR SPONSORS!

## BENEFACTORS

David Winthrop Allen Family Trust  
Recology  
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
Sutro Tower, Inc.

## PATRONS

Cole Valley Improvement Assn  
Dick Millet  
Pacific Heights Residents Assn  
Retired Firemen & Widows Assn  
San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798

## SPONSORS

Barbary Coast Neighborhood Assn  
Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn  
Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Assn  
Dolores Heights Improvement Club  
East Mission Improvement Assn  
Forest Knolls Neighborhood Org  
Golden Gate Park Preservation Alliance  
Midtown Terrace Homeowners Assn  
Miraloma Park Improvement Club  
OMI Neighbors in Action  
Richmond Community Assn  
Sunset Parkside Education & Action Cmte (SPEAK)  
Sunset Heights Assn of Responsible People (SHARP)  
Telegraph Hill Dwellers  
John Bardis & Kathleen Courtney  
Judith Berkowitz  
Hon David Chiu  
Bernie Choden & Lois Scott  
Penny Clark  
Sheryl Connell  
Tony Kelly  
Melinda LaValle  
Rafael Mandelman  
Hon Kathrin Moore  
Aaron Peskin  
Paul Webber  
Steve Williams, Attorney

## How to Reach Us

**President:** Judith Berkowitz • sfjberk@mac.com • 824-0617  
**1st VP:** George Wooding • mother\_ed@bigeds.com  
**2nd VP:** Rose Hillson • gumby5@att.net  
**Recording Scrtry:** Charles Head • charlesnhead@hotmail.com  
**Corresponding Secretary:** Dick Millet • milletdick@yahoo.com  
**Treasurer:** Dick Millet • milletdick@yahoo.com  
**Member-at-Large:** Penelope Clark • penelopeclark@yahoo.com  
**Member-at-Large:** Melinda LaValle • melindalavalle@aol.com  
**Member-at-Large:** Paul Webber • pwebber928@yahoo.com  
**Parliamentarian:** Claire Zvanski • czvanski@hotmail.com

AGENDA	
General Assembly Meeting February 18, 2014	
6:30	I. Sign In and Refreshments
7:00	II. Call to Order/Ascertain Quorum A. Introduction of Delegates and Guests / Short Announcements B. Hosts 1. Francisco Heights Civic Assn — FHCA 2. Middle Polk Neighborhood Assn — MPNA
7:05	III. Approval of January 2014 Minutes
7:10	IV. Officers' Reports A. President B. Vice Presidents — Nominations & Election of Nominating Committee Formation of Dinner Committee
7:25	C. Secretaries D. Treasurer V. Committee Action Items — written reports in Newsletter A. Bylaws B. Government & Elections — Consideration of recommendations from this Committee on the two measures scheduled for the June Ballot: Prop A: Earthquake Safety & Emergency Response Bond II Prop B: No Wall on the Waterfront II C. Land Use & Housing D. Media Relations E. Open Space F. Transportation G. Water Task Force
7:40	VI. Unfinished Business. Reso regarding spot-zoning 1481 Post Street. Please see January <i>Neighborhood Views</i> p.6 for both background and reso.
7:45	VII. New Business.
8:00	VIII. Program: Proposed Rate Schedule for Next Four Years for Water and Wastewater Speakers: Todd Rydstrom, CFO SFPUC Iyrone Jue, Communications Director Crispin Hollings, Director of Financial Planning
	IX. Adjournment

*CSFN meets the third Tuesday of each month except for December at Northern Police Station Community Room, Corner of Turk & Fillmore Streets (Parking in rear off Turk) Public Transit: Muni #22 Fillmore, 31 Balboa & 38 Geary Lines*

**Visitors: Please Sign the Register**

**NEIGHBORHOOD VIEWS** is published monthly, the official voice of the Coalition for SF Neighborhoods, Inc., a 501 (c)4 organization.  
**To Submit Articles: Email articles by the 5th of the month to sfiberk@mac.com**  
 Either inline text or an attached document can be used.  
 Articles reflect the opinions of the submitter, not necessarily the opinion of the CSFN. We invite material from member organizations as well as rebuttal to articles already printed. We reserve the right to edit where necessary. Member organizations may receive two copies of the newsletter without charge. Subscription: Members/\$10, Nonmembers/\$15.

*Coalition for SF Neighborhoods  
P.O. Box 320098 San Francisco CA 94132*

**Action Items:**  
 Vote on Recommendations from G&E Cmte on November Ballot Measures  
 Resolution regarding spot-zoning 1481 Post Street.  
 (CHNA) Jan *Neighborhood Views* p.6  
 Nominations from Floor & Election of Nominating Committee (ExComm) p.2  
 Formation of Dinner Committee (ExComm) p.2

**Next Meeting  
Tuesday  
Feb 18**

**Contents**

1	Proposed Water & Sewer Rates .....
2	January ExComm Report .....
2	Transportation Cmte Report .....
3	January General Assembly Draft Minutes .....
4	Land Use & Housing Cmte Reports .....
4	75 Howard Update .....
5	Response to MTTF Report .....
5	Planning Commission: New Rules .....