



## Jettison San Francisco's Ethics Commission, Part 2

### Please refer to the July CSFN *Neighborhood Views* for Part 1.

The quasi-judicial Ethics Commission has failed the citizens of San Francisco once again. After its latest performance in the Ross Mirkarimi trial the Commission should be abolished.

Mayor Lee's attempt to get rid of an elected official — Sheriff Ross Mirkarimi — for "official misconduct" has only served to weaken Lee's already fading political capital and popularity, and demonstrate how useless the Ethics Commission really is.

Originally, the 1993 Proposition K that created the Ethics Commission was placed on the ballot and was supported by a 7 – 4 vote by the Board of Supervisors. Voters established the Commission to serve citizens' interests. Now, due to the Commission's funding and selection process, the agency only serves the interests of politicians and the politically influential.

In 1995 San Francisco voters approved adding a definition of "official misconduct" to the City Charter which was again expanded in 2003. These newly-created and expanded definitions of "official misconduct" became so vague as to be almost meaningless. The Ethics Commission, in effect, became arbitrators of what the vague and confusing "official conduct" terminology meant.

For example, does "official misconduct" only apply to what you do at work, but not at home? Like Mirkarimi, what if you had not yet sworn an oath of office? What is "conduct that falls below the standard of decency, good faith, and right action"?

Mayor Lee initially had the unspoken support of the five Ethics Commissioners who are appointed by the Board of Supervisors, the City Attorney, the District Attorney, the Mayor, and the City Controller — the same appointing officials who determine the Ethics Commission's budget.

The Ethics Commissioners are all attorneys with the exception of Beverly Hayon, a retired public relations professional appointed by Mayor Lee. Chairperson Benedict Hur, Dorothy Liu, Paul Renne, and Jamiene Studley — along with Hayon — were primed and ready to convict Mirkarimi.

One nagging question throughout the Mirkarimi trial had been whether Mayor Lee was after Mirkarimi — an elected public official — for political reasons or was he trying to actually uphold domestic violence laws? Clearly Mayor Lee does not like Ross Mirkarimi or Mirkarimi's politics. Would Lee have acted the same had Sheriff candidates Chris Cunnie or Paul Miyamoto won the election and found themselves in the same predicament as Mirkarimi?

Mayor Lee said all of the right things regarding domestic violence, but the Mirkarimi case gave the Mayor an opportunity to take out an opponent and broadly expand his personal power through the Ethics Commission. If Mayor Lee could make any of the overly broad, vague, or ambiguous definitions of "official misconduct" stick, he would acquire a powerful new tool to get rid of any City official — whether they were elected or appointed — who commit minor infractions on or off of the job. Of course, Lee would still need nine Board of Supervisor votes to make this work.

No one ever had a more stacked deck at the Ethics Commission than Mayor Lee. He had a vaguely-worded "official misconduct" Charter definition, a villain named Ross Mirkarimi (who had already pleaded guilty to  *misdemeanor false imprisonment*), the unquestioned loyalty of the Ethics Commissioners, and ground rules for the trial and format set-up by the City Attorney's office.

On March 21, 2012 Mayor Edwin Lee transmitted to the Ethics Commission charges of official misconduct relating to Sheriff Ross Mirkarimi. So began the Ethics Commission's kangaroo trial.

With all of their advantages Deputy City Attorneys Peter Keith and Sherri Kaiser — who represented the Mayor — were often ineffective. They overplayed their case against Mirkarimi and were continually seeking to expand the City's vague definitions of "official misconduct" while introducing defamatory definitions unsupported by direct evidence. Keith and Kaiser looked like amateurs.

Ethics Commissioner Paul Renne — the husband of former City Attorney Louise Renne — called much of the testimony elicited by Keith and Kaiser: "Clearly hearsay, clearly having the intention of poisoning the well. A first year lawyer should know that much of [the testimony] is inadmissible and should not have been given to us." For his part Mayor Lee just kept claiming that Ross Mirkarimi "beats his wife."

In stark contrast Mirkarimi's attorneys David Waggoner and Shepard Kopp were great. They kept exposing the vagueness of San Francisco's "official misconduct" Charter language. Kopp eviscerated the Mayor on the witness stand, and showed that the Ethics Commission proceedings were "far too susceptible to the vagaries of politics." Waggoner stated "the punishment does not fit the crime," and most importantly, "You must decide whether to uphold or overturn the will of the voters."

Prior to the Mirkarimi trial, the Ethics Commission had never even held a complete misconduct trial for an elected official. After operating for 19 years and spending approximately \$50 million in taxpayer money, San Francisco has had only had three Ethics trials and owns a horrible track record for handling whistleblower cases and Sunshine Ordinance violations. The Ethics Commission also keeps track of lobbyist activities and election oversight (albeit badly) — a job the Elections Department used to handle.

Although very likable, Mayor Lee is no angel. He lied about running for Mayor. Most politicians lie or obfuscate, but Lee's big lie allowed him to first become the interim mayor of San Francisco and then the actual mayor.

During his Ethics Commission testimony Mayor Lee was hammered by Mirkarimi's attorney Shepard Kopp. Mayor Lee was accused of lying when Kopp asked him if he had consulted with any members of the Board of Supervisors about his decision to suspend Mirkarimi, and for his answer regarding whether someone potentially associated with the Mayor offered the Sheriff a lower-ranking position in exchange for his resignation. Lying while testifying under oath is a felony — perjury.

Mercifully for Lee someone called in a fake bomb threat outside of  
(cont'd on p.4)

# Executive Committee Report

**October 24**

Chair George Wooding called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. Present were Judy Berkowitz, Wooding, Rose Hillson, Dick Millet, Charles Head, Jeanne Quock, and Evelyn Wilson. Penelope Clark was excused. There was a quorum.

## Officers' Reports

**President Judy Berkowitz** reported that a CSFN slate card has been designed. Unfortunately, the slate card may not be mailed out if sufficient funding is not found. The Prop B coalition has approached different groups that are in sync with CSFN's positions and requested help in funding the slate card. As yet little funding has been forthcoming. Groups that are planning to send "No on Proposition B" flyers include Committee Against Park Mismanagement and the the Republican Party. Now that the Parks Alliance/Parks Trust has taken over the Neighborhood Parks Council (NPC) they have become the fiscal sponsors for Yes on Proposition B supporters. Kathy Howard and George Wooding will man a "no on Proposition B" booth at Google. Dick Millet moved that Kathy Howard be reimbursed for expenses up to \$200; seconded by Head. Approved unanimously. Approval of further Prop B oppo expenses over and above the \$200 will be considered at the November GA. • The Central Subway boondoggle and Save Muni SF was mentioned in the Wall Street Journal. • Berkowitz moved that ExComm recommend to the GA that CSFN support SF ENUF. This motion was seconded by Mr. Head and was approved unanimously. It will be before the November GA to ratify. If approved, CSFN will send a representative to ENUF meetings.

**1<sup>st</sup> Vice President George Wooding** discussed CSFN's work on fighting against the passage of Proposition B. Wooding debated Matt O'Grady from the Parks Alliance for a televised debate sponsored by the League of Women Voters. He has talked in front of neighborhood groups, the SF Republican party, debated Supervisor Scott Wiener and has written articles. • He also brought up the attempt to block off 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue at West Portal and add mobile food trucks on selected days. Wooding was against the idea as there was 1) a lack of bathrooms, 2) lack of trash cans and 3) the trucks would be competing with existing neighborhood restaurants. • Wooding also mentioned the danger of having Supervisor Scott Wiener change local California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regulations. Wiener is attempting to locally deregulate CEQA at an extremely rapid pace, working for passage through the holidays.

**2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President Rose Hillson** urged members to attend Supervisor Wiener's October 25<sup>th</sup> scheduled meeting on how to protect local trees and pay for their upkeep. The city no longer has the staff or the money to maintain many of its trees and has started turning trees over to homeowners who may neither have the money or the inclination to take care of trees once owned and cared for by the city.

**Treasurer Dick Millet** reported that the books are balanced and all mail has been distributed. Mr. Millet has found a worthy credit union in the San Francisco Federal Credit Union.

**Unfinished Business:** the November GA meeting will be a review and analysis of the November 6 election. Chris Bowman and David Pilpel will be asked to participate.

**New Business.** ExCom considered speakers for the *CSFN 40<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Annual Dinner* and is also considering candidates for our annual award. Joe Eskenazi, investigative reporter at the SF Weekly, will speak at the December 18th event. Planning Commissioner Kathrin Moore will receive the award.

Having no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 pm

...George Wooding (MTHA) Chair — 2 —

# LU&H Committee Report

**November 12**

The Regular Meeting of the CSFN Land Use & Housing Committee was convened by Hiroshi Fukuda on Monday, November 12, 2012 at 5:05 P.M. in the Community Room of the Northern Police Station at Turk and Fillmore Streets.

The Committee considered the following items

1. CEQA Amendments proposed by Supervisor Wiener BOS File #121019

CSFN's Response to Supervisor Wiener's CEQA Legislation Proposal

**Whereas** the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) in Public Resources Code 21151(c) allows an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Negative Declaration, or Exemption approved by a non-elected decision-making body to be appealed to an elected decision-making body; and

**Whereas** San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31, governs CEQA procedures, including appeals in San Francisco; and

**Whereas** Supervisor Scott Wiener is proposing legislation (BOS File No. 121019) to significantly amend Chapter 31; and

**Whereas** this is the third attempt since 2006 to amend Chapter 31 in this way with no substantial and broad stakeholder input; and

**Whereas** this proposal contains a number of complicated provisions that in summary run against meaningful opportunities for public appeals of environmental determinations and this requires more public engagement and stakeholder discussions including environmental, historic preservation, neighborhood, social justice and tenant groups; therefore be it

**Resolved**, that the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN) opposes the ordinance as proposed and requests additional time for the consultations and stakeholder processes described and seeks to ensure a meaningful appeals process through comprehensive legislation.

2. Efficiency Dwelling Units, case #2012.13227. Committee approved the following recommendations to the Planning Commission for changes to the proposed legislation:
  - a. Pg. 2, Section 318(b) Change maximum of 900 Efficiency Dwelling Units (EDUs) allowed to 300.
  - b. Pg. 2, Section 318(c) Change reporting trigger point by Planning Department to Board of Supervisors from 750 units to 250 units.
3. CPMC: Board of Supervisors might hear project on November 20, 2012.
4. Parkmerced: Superior Court decision expected this month.
5. 1601 Larkin: Continues to be mediated by a Federal judge to avoid trial. Problem is that City approval procedure/process by Planning Department, Planning Commission, and Board of Supervisors might be nullified by an agreement by the developer and a few individuals.

The next Regular meeting of the Committee will be held on Monday, December 10, 2012 at 5:30 p.m. in the Community Room of the Northern Police Station located at Turk and Fillmore.

Adjourned: 7:26 PM

...Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA) Chair

# CSFN Draft Minutes: General Assembly Meeting October 16

1. **Call to Order.** President Judith Berkowitz brought the October 16 General Assembly meeting to order at 7:03PM at Northern Police Station.
  - a. Quorum declared. 20 delegates and alternates represented 16 CSFN member organizations. 3 guests signed in.
  - b. Agenda approved.
  - c. Introductions. Delegates and guests introduced themselves. Host Charles Head of Sunset Heights Association of Responsible People (SHARP) described his organization's objectives, history, and current issues. Mr. Head also spoke for Ramona Albright of Twin Peaks Council & Open Space Conservancy (TPC&OSC), the evening's co-host, who was unable to attend the meeting. Attendees very much appreciated the refreshments.
2. **Minutes.** The September 18 General Assembly draft minutes were approved as printed on page 3 of the October newsletter.
3. **Officers' Reports.**
  - a. **President Berkowitz** (EMIA) Thanked George Wooding for yeoman's work on No on B. Requested Claire Zvanski (EDIA) to relay what happened at a meeting of retired city employees where Supervisor Mark Farrell spoke in favor of the measure B. All of the pro-B arguments in the handbook were funded by the Parks Alliance/Parks Trust. Presumably this stems from the email to groups from Parks Alliance asking for "sponsors" for B (props do not have sponsors). (See ExComm Report October *Neighborhood Views*, p.2.) • And she reminded the membership that **CSFN will celebrate our Fortieth Anniversary at our Annual Dinner on December 18.** • FTA funds for the Central Subway were approved prior to the required 60-day period. Save Muni SF has filed a lawsuit and is looking at a ballot measure. There have been two measures to eliminate MTA: Prop E and later Prop G. Muni drivers are coming to Save Muni SF in support. • Welcomed Jeanne Quock as ExComm member-at-large.
  - b. **1st VP Wooding** (MTHA) said the planned removal of the "level of service" impact of auto traffic in Environmental Impact Reports of building projects could have a serious impact on the community. He also noted that the Residential Bond Oversight Committee of the PUC has been co-opted by the PUC and the Mayor; it can no longer do what it is supposed to do.
  - c. **2<sup>nd</sup> VP Hillson** (JPJA)
  - d. **Recording Sec. Clark.** (RHIA)
  - e. **Corresponding Secretary/Treasurer Millet** (PBNA) prepared a file of Coalition correspondence that is available to members at the General Assembly meeting. He has also found a local credit union, San Francisco Credit Union, for the us to switch our banking business.
4. **Committee Reports.**
  - a. *Bylaws.* Chair **Evelyn Wilson** (SPEAK)
  - b. *Government & Elections.* Chair **Charles Head** (SHARP)
  - c. *Land Use.* Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA) directed delegates to page 2 of the October newsletter for the committee report.
  - d. *Open Space.* **Kathy Howard** (SPEAK) reported that more than 200 people had signed on to the appeal filed with the Coastal Commission regarding the Golden Gate Park soccer fields

## ☀️ CSFN 40<sup>th</sup> Anniversary ☀️ Annual Holiday Dinner

FEATURED DINNER SPEAKER

**JOE ESKENAZI**

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTER, THE SF WEEKLY



On Tuesday December 18<sup>th</sup>, CSFN will host our Annual Dinner at the Patio Español, Spanish Cultural Center, 2850 Alemany Blvd. Individual Dinner Tickets are \$60.

Thank you to all our wonderful Sponsors!

If you or your organization would like to be a CSFN Sponsor, your name will appear in each of our monthly newsletters.

Sponsorships include

\$125 (1 Dinner)

\$450 (5 Dinners, 1/2 table)

\$875 (10 Dinners, full table)

Dinner choices will be

Paella Valenciana

Chicken Breast al Ajillo

Pasta Primavera (Vegetarian)

Look for your invitation in your mailbox or as an Evite in your email box!

RSVP to 824-0617 ♦ sfjberk@mac.com

- project. And a CEQA lawsuit has been filed by others.
- e. *Transportation.* Chair **Gary Noguera** (MPIC)
- f. *Water Task Force.* Chair **Joan Girardot** (MCIPOA) gave a detailed update.
5. **Program.** Mari Eliza of SF ENUF (Eastern Neighborhoods United Front), originally formed to protest proposed installation of 5,000 parking meters for that area, spoke to the assembly about the **citywide** impacts of SFMTA's money-raising plans.

A fascinating proposal: SF ENUF is aiming to set up along the lines of and as a counter-weight to the Bike Coalition. Please fill out this survey on [www.sfenuf.org](http://www.sfenuf.org) Petitions are also available.

See also [www.metermadness.wordpress.com](http://www.metermadness.wordpress.com)

The meeting was adjourned at 8:34 PM.

...Penelope Clark (RHIA) Recording Secretary



## Dump Ethics Commission

City Hall and the Mayor suddenly had to leave the stand. No one else inside or outside of City Hall was evacuated. The convenient timing of this bomb threat saved a drowning man — Mayor Lee.

Had Mayor Lee been testifying in another venue besides the Ethics Commission, he might have been charged with perjury. On July 19, the Ethics Commission denied Mirkarimi's request for the issuance of subpoenas for four witnesses who would have testified that much of what Mayor Lee said was false. The Ethics Commission denied the subpoenas and Lee was spared.

Prior to the Mirkarimi case Mayor Lee had a curious record of following-up on Ethics Commission recommendations.

The Ethics Commission recommended over a year ago that Mayor Lee should consider removing Jewelle Gomez, president of the Library Commission, for behavior deemed inappropriate conduct for a public official. Ethics Commission chairperson Ben Hur wrote this to Mayor Lee and is still waiting for a reply: *"The Commission voted to recommend that you consider taking steps to remove Ms. Gomez from her appointed office for her conduct. The Ethics Commission has not received a response from your office as to any action you may have taken regarding Ms. Gomez."* When will Mayor Lee respond to the Ethics Commission — never?

In May, Fire Chief Joanne Hayes-White was declared a *"deadbeat divorcee"* and had her \$302,000 annual salary garnished for \$3,300 monthly for non-payment of spousal support. In 2005, Hayes-White's husband called police to report that his wife hit him over the head with a pint glass. Another case of domestic violence from a City official that was — selectively — never referred to the Ethics Commission.

Does anyone remember the Ethics trial after former Mayor Gavin Newsom admitted to having a substance abuse problem and sleeping with his best friend's wife while she was working for him in the Mayor's office? Again: there was no Ethics trial of Newsom.

Mayor Lee carpet-bombed Mirkarimi with charges such as "witness dissuasion," "impeding a police investigation," "abuse of office," "engaging in a pattern of abuse toward women," and "lying to officers." The Ethics Commission cited insufficient evidence on all charges except the false imprisonment count even when using the "preponderance of evidence" standard that is lower than the "beyond reasonable doubt" standard used in criminal cases.

On August 16, the Ethics Commission ruled 4 to 1 that Mirkarimi had committed official misconduct by falsely imprisoning his wife. This was identical to the charge that Mirkarimi had pled to on March 13. Six months of wasted time, energy, and over \$1 million in City Attorney costs.

After the trial Mayor Lee released the following statement: *"I am pleased that the members of the Ethics Commission, following a careful review of the evidence, and in the face of a sustained campaign to distract and misdirect them from the facts, agreed with me that Ross Mirkarimi's actions constitute official misconduct and fall below the ethical conduct we expect of the sheriff, our top law enforcement officer."*

Although four of the five Ethics Commissioners dutifully worked to help Mayor Lee and the City Attorney's office expand the interpretation of "official misconduct" to a point where just about any elected official or any City employee could be suspended by the Mayor at any time for official actions or private actions for just about any major or minor infraction, Ethics Commission chair Benjamin Hur was brilliant.

Hur was the lone dissenting vote. Hur felt that the City should take the narrowest view of "official misconduct," not the broadest. Hur stated: *"If we don't find a nexus to the relationship of the duties (official misconduct), then we are opening this provision up to abuse and manipulation down the road in a way that we're not really going to like. I have grave concerns about what the next case looks like unless*

*we interpret this in a way that I think the voters intended, and also that is narrow and understandable for elected officials."* Thank you for your intelligence and bravery in the face of overwhelming political pressure, Mr. Hur.

The Ethics Commission is a broken toy that should be taken out of the Mayor's hands.

On October 9, Ross Mirkarimi was re-instated at the Board of Supervisors following a 7 – 4 vote, denying the Mayor the nine votes needed to remove Mirkarimi permanently. Supervisors Christine Olague, David Campos, John Avalos, and Jane Kim voted for Mirkarimi, but they were all really voting against the vague "official misconduct" charges that the Ethics Commission, Mayor Lee, and the City Attorney had presented to the Board of Supervisors.

According to the voting Supervisors' testimony, they were *not* voting in favor of Ross Mirkarimi, but against the Ethics Commission's vague interpretation of "official misconduct" and the fact that the Commission's process could be politically abused to remove elected City officials.

Deputy City Attorney Sherri Kaiser, speaking before the Board of Supervisors, wanted the "official misconduct" statute to be interpreted as broadly as possible. Board of Supervisors president David Chiu stated: *"Having clarity in the law seems to make sense."* [Despite this, Chiu then voted to support the Mayor.] Supervisor Mark Farrell stated to Kaiser: *"Your position seems to me to be very contradictory."* [Despite this, Farrell then voted to support the Mayor.]

Supervisor David Campos said to Kaiser: *"I don't think the analysis makes me comfortable. She (Kaiser) said the interpretation was 'nimble,' but I don't know the difference between 'nimble' and 'vague,' and I think that they are one and the same."*

Supervisor Jane Kim didn't mince words with Kaiser when Kim stated that she would like to see a *"bright line rule or test"* (for "official misconduct"). Kim went on and asked: *"Then any misdemeanor the Sheriff pleads to is official misconduct, is that right?"* Kaiser answered *"No."* Kim replied: *"What is to guide us in the future?"* Kaiser responded by saying: *"It is a discretionary decision."* Kim finished by stating: *"Does that open us up to the vagueness issue, which would make the clause unconstitutional?"*

There is no way to delineate what the current definition of "official misconduct" means, and no way to predict how the definition may change over time. Our current system allows only the Mayor the "discretion" to decide what is or isn't "official misconduct." Do voters really want to expand the "discretion" any Mayor may use to define official misconduct, since discretion is already ripe for abuse of power?

**Eulogy for the Ethics Commission:** Mayor Lee failed to successfully prosecute Mirkarimi. Had he not controlled the Ethics Commission and had influence over the City Attorney, Mayor Lee could have been prosecuted for perjury charges. His own appointee, Supervisor Christine Olague, betrayed him and voted to support Ross Mirkarimi. Mayor Lee has become a dysfunctional leader as he refuses to respond to Mirkarimi's offer to sit down to talk. Lee no longer wants to work with the Supervisors who voted against Mirkarimi's conviction (wasn't he once trumpeted as a consensus builder?) His popularity with the public has greatly diminished.

**Conclusion:** The Ethics Commission has been a disaster for Mayor Lee.

The Ethics Commission is a broken political toy that should be taken out of the Mayor's and politicians' hands. The Commission no longer serves the citizens of San Francisco — it just serves City politicians — and it doesn't even know what "official misconduct" means. It's time for the Ethics Commission to be retired.

...George Wooding (MTHA)

## The Parks Bond Passed: What Now?

Now that the voters have passed the parks bond, Proposition B, park advocates from over 20 organizations along with CSFN and the 89,758 citizens that supported a “NO” vote on Proposition B, are even more deeply committed to providing a voice for San Francisco’s citizens. We love our parks as much as anyone.

Although the “Yes on B” proponents spent over \$900,000 promoting the 2012 Parks Bond, it passed with a scant 1% lead when compared to the 2008 Parks Bond. The “No on B” campaign spent about \$8,800. This works out to about \$.10 a vote for “No on B” compared to over \$4.00 per vote by the “Yes on B” campaign.

CSFN and the other groups went into this election to create a debate that the *real* need in our parks is for increased general funding for **maintenance and operations** — *not* capital bonds to build new structures without the maintenance funds to keep them up.

San Francisco can show leadership by funding good public policy that supports and rewards the SF Recreation and Parks Department when it focuses on our parks primarily as a public service, not as a private enterprise generating revenue at the expense of its public service.

Going forward San Francisco must build a political infrastructure of deeply committed citizens to encourage the SF Recreation and Parks Department to redirect its management policies back to its core mission of stewarding resources and providing the broadest access to our parks.

It’s just the beginning for us. We are working hard with the many other San Franciscans who are the real voice of the residents and who want to restore access to our parks and stewardship of our precious investment as the public service it should be.

...Katherine Howard (SPEAK, Open Space Committee)



Marie Eliza, spokesman for SF ENUF, addresses delegates at the October General Assembly.

<http://www.sfenuf.org/>  
<http://metermadness.wordpress.com/>

### How to Reach Us

**President:** Judith Berkowitz • [sfjberk@mac.com](mailto:sfjberk@mac.com) • 824-0617  
**1st VP:** George Wooding • [mother\\_ed@bigeds.com](mailto:mother_ed@bigeds.com)  
**2nd VP:** Rose Hillson • [gumby5@att.net](mailto:gumby5@att.net)  
**Recording Scrtry:** Penelope Clark • [penelopeclark@yahoo.com](mailto:penelopeclark@yahoo.com)  
**Corresponding Secretary:** Dick Millet • [milletdick@yahoo.com](mailto:milletdick@yahoo.com)  
**Treasurer:** Dick Millet • [milletdick@yahoo.com](mailto:milletdick@yahoo.com)  
**Member-at-Large:** Charles Head • [charlesnhead@hotmail.com](mailto:charlesnhead@hotmail.com)  
**Member-at-Large:** Jeanne Quock • [winchellq@sbcglobal.net](mailto:winchellq@sbcglobal.net)

## THANK YOU TO OUR SPONSORS!

### BENEFACTORS

David Winthrop Allen Family Trust  
 San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798  
 San Francisco Police Officers’ Assn

### PATRONS

Cole Valley Improvement Assn  
 Dick Millet  
 Forest Knolls Neighborhood Org, George Wooding  
 Haight Ashbury Improvement Assn  
 Pacific Heights Residents Assn  
 Retired Firemen & Widows Assn of SFFD  
 Sunset Parkside Ed & Action Cmte (SPEAK)

### SPONSORS

Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn  
 East Mission Improvement Assn  
 Greater West Portal Neighborhood Assn  
 Laurel Heights Improvement Assn  
 Miraloma Park Improvement Club  
 OMI Neighbors in Action  
 Richmond Community Assn  
 Sunset Hts Assn of Responsible People (SHARP)  
 Telegraph Hill Dwellers  
 John Bardis  
 Judith Berkowitz  
 Penny Clark  
 Sheryl Connell  
 Steve Currier  
 Kathryn Devincenzi, Attorney  
 Tony Kelly  
 Hon Fiona Ma  
 Bert Polacci  
 Jeanne & Winchell Quock  
 Steve Williams, Attorney  
 (and thanks! to Jim & Ella Mae Lew)



### COMMITTEE MEETINGS

**Land Use & Housing** • Monday 5:30PM Dec 10 • Northern Police Station • Chair Hiroshi Fukuda • [ninersam@aol.com](mailto:ninersam@aol.com) • 386-2212  
**Open Space** • Ongoing Meetings • Chair Nancy Wuerfel • [nancenumber1@aol.com](mailto:nancenumber1@aol.com) • 731-6432, Co-chair Ramona Albright • 621-9621  
**Transportation** • Chair Gary Noguera • [garynoguera@earthlink.net](mailto:garynoguera@earthlink.net)  
**Water Task Force** • Chair Joan Girardot • 346-5525  
**Bylaws** • Chair Evelyn Wilson • [evelynwilsregparl@earthlink.net](mailto:evelynwilsregparl@earthlink.net) • 566-7826  
**Gov’t & Elections** • Chair Charles Head • [charlesnhead@hotmail.com](mailto:charlesnhead@hotmail.com)

<b>AGENDA</b>	
<b>General Assembly Meeting</b>	
<b>November 20, 2012</b>	
6:30	I. Sign In and Refreshments
7:00	II. Call to Order/Ascertain Quorum A. Introduction of Delegates and Guests / Short Announcements B. Hosts 1. Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn — BVNA 2. Sunset Parkside Education & Action Committee — SPEAK
7:15	III. Approval of October 2012 Minutes
7:20	IV. Officers' Reports A. President B. Vice Presidents C. Secretaries D. Treasurer
7:25	V. Committee Action Items — written reports in Newsletter A. Bylaws B. Government & Elections C. Land Use & Housing D. Open Space E. Transportation F. Water Task Force
7:45	VI. Program: Chris Bowman and David Pilpel, election review and analysis
	VII. Adjournment

*CSFN meets the third Tuesday of each month except for December at Northern Police Station Community Room, Corner of Turk & Fillmore Streets (Parking in rear off Turk.) Public Transit: Muni #22 Fillmore, 31 Balboa & 38 Geary Lines*

**Visitors: Please Sign the Register**

**NEIGHBORHOOD VIEWS** is published monthly, the official voice of the Coalition for SF Neighborhoods, Inc., a 501(c)4 organization.  
 To Submit Articles: Email articles by the 5th of the month to [sfjberk@mac.com](mailto:sfjberk@mac.com)  
 Either inline text or an attached document can be used.  
 Articles reflect the opinions of the submitter, not necessarily the opinion of the CSFN. We invite material from member organizations as well as rebuttal to articles already printed. We reserve the right to edit where necessary. Member organizations may receive two copies of the newsletter without charge. Subscription: Members/\$10, Nonmembers/\$15.

*Coalition for SF Neighborhoods  
 P.O. Box 320098 San Francisco CA 94132*

**Action Items:**  
 Motion to support SF ENUF, ExComm Report, p.2  
 Approve \$342,50 out-of-pocket Prop B expenses, ExComm Report, p.2  
 Resolution regarding local deregulation of CEQA, LU&H Cmte Report, p.2

**Next Meeting**  
 Tuesday  
 Nov 20

**Contents**

Jettison Ethics Commission, Part 2 ..... 1  
 October ExComm Report ..... 2  
 LU&H Committee Report ..... 2  
 October Assembly Draft Minutes ..... 3  
 After the Parks Bond: What Now? ..... 5