



Death, Taxes, and Your Morning Shower

San Francisco's new water and wastewater rate increases should be added to the ancient proverb, "Nothing is certain but death and taxes." More certain than death, is certainty of taxation and fees.

Between July 2009 and July 2014, San Francisco single-family residential water and wastewater rates and water service charges, will increase an astronomical 61% per household. The average residential bill for water and wastewater is currently \$63.16 monthly for a household averaging 7 units of water monthly. One unit of water equals 748 gallons of water. The same house, maintaining its same water usage, will pay \$101.45 monthly by July 2014 — a \$38.29 monthly fee increase, a staggering \$459.48 annual increase!

Over the next five years, residential water rates will increase on an annual basis by 15.0%, 15.0%, 12.5%, 12.5%, and 6.5%, respectively. Residential wastewater rates, which already cost 6% more than water, will increase by 7.0%, 7.0%, 5.0%, 5.0%, and 5.0%. All of these rate increases will be compounded annually. Fixed water service charges will also be increasing. To help reduce the financial burden on homeowners, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) will soon stop billing customers on a bi-monthly (two-month) basis and will convert to monthly billing, instead. The new monthly bills are designed to confuse consumers through an illusion they will continue paying approximately the same rates.

Almost all of the new SFPUC rate increases fall on the shoulders of homeowners and landlords. A majority of San Francisco's renters live in multi-unit apartment buildings without individual water meters; 69% of San Francisco residents are renters. Tenants without meters can use as little or as much water as they need, without having to pay for their actual usage; by contrast, homeowner's pay every cent for their metered water, plus wastewater usage. San Francisco landlords pay water bills based on their building's total consumption of water and wastewater. Landlords are only allowed to pass through a small percentage of the tenants' water bill and no wastewater bill can be passed through.

The new 61% higher SFPUC rate increases for single-family residences will be used to help pay the principal and debt service for the revenue bonds authorized by the \$3.6 billion Proposition A ballot measure in 2002. Due to a projected 28% cost overrun the project is now estimated to cost \$4.6 billion. These projected cost overruns do not include debt service.

San Francisco's increased water rates are needed to pay for upgrades to the Hetch Hetchy water infrastructure. These

upgrades are meant to protect the water system against threats of earthquake and drought. The system delivers most of its water to suburban "wholesale" customers, and they pay proportionally for the upgrades. The water upgrade project was originally scheduled to be completed in thirteen years. Six years into the upgrade, only 16% of the project work is completed. With the heavy spending on bigger water projects just about to begin, rate payers should cross their fingers that all goes well, and further delays and cost overruns are avoided.

A BLANK CHECK: San Francisco voters gave away their rights to approve the SFPUC's sale of bonds. In 2002, San Francisco voters also passed Proposition E, a City Charter amendment that: 1) Created a new Rate Fairness Board (Assembly Bill 2058); 2) Required the SFPUC to create long-term plans to operate, maintain, finance, and improve the utilities subject to extensive public hearings (Senate Bill 1870); 3) Redefined rate-setting procedures; and 4) Allowed the SFPUC to issue revenue bonds to make improvements to power, water, and sewer utilities subject to a two-thirds vote of approval by San Francisco's Board of Supervisors.

To actual San Francisco voters, the SFPUC is now a closed-door system; it only needs approval from the Board of Supervisors (not voters) to issue revenue bonds. So... watch your utility rates continue to skyrocket as the SFPUC continues issuing Proposition A revenue bonds. Additionally, in 2016, the SFPUC will start its \$3.2 billion repair of San Francisco's wastewater system. Homeowners' and landlords' water and wastewater rates will likely more than triple over the next decade.

San Franciscans are not only paying for an upgraded water system, they are also paying for San Francisco's bad governance. Repair and replacement of our water system has always been factored into San Francisco water rates, but misspent. From 1979 to 2001 City politicians and officials raided the Hetch Hetchy water system of at least \$670 million, leaving the Bay Area's largest water supply vulnerable to earthquake, drought, and decay. San Francisco's politicians deferred Hetch Hetchy maintenance by using a legal loophole (now closed) to place hydroelectric revenue directly into the City's General Fund.

The Hetch Hetchy system was falling apart while San Francisco was using its revenue as a blank-check slush fund to finance higher employee salaries, social engineering programs, and unnecessary political patronage jobs. Reportedly, Willie Brown's administration alone diverted more than \$233 million

President's Message

I hadn't thought I'd be writing another one of these, but here I am again...

It's time to sign up for committees again; we are a stronger organization when we have active committees, so I encourage you all to participate in these activities of CSFN.

Sign-up pages for the Coalition's seven committees will be available at the June meeting. It's great that we all participate at the monthly meetings, but it's in the committees that we really get to know our issues.

CSFN Committees are:

- **Bylaws,**
- **Gov't & Elections,**
- **Land Use & Housing,**
- **Newsletter,**
- **Open Space,**
- **Transportation,** and the
- **Water Task Force.**

At the ExComm we proposed a Retreat. It's been a few years since the last one, and with a new ExComm seated it's time for us to take a look at CSFN: where we're going and how we're getting there from here.

We have had Retreats in the past, but this is an opportunity to map out the future of the neighborhoods and our relationship to city government and issues.

All individual members of CSFN neighborhood organizations, (delegates as well as others) are invited to attend and participate in this process. Our intention is to craft the vision for CSFN for the next 5 years and more.

Yes, there will be refreshments!

I am not quite sure of the date and venue as of this writing, but I will be sure to let you know in a few days.

AB1272, which seeks to carve out helipads from CEQA review, is threatening to sideswipe the SFGH helipad EIR. If there is no CEQA review, there will be no mitigations for residents. The Assembly bill summary states that the SF helipad did not get permitted because of neighborhood opposition, however the truth is that they have not yet applied for the permit. This is an end-run, trying to thwart neighbors. Our own Assemblyman Ammiano is a sponsor, and our other Assemblyperson, Fiona Ma, voted for it.

As we have been already been alerted: on June 18 the proposed changes to DR will be before the Planning Commission. Please be there to make the case for full public hearing before the Planning Commission for DRs.

An Informational Hearing on 2009 Housing Element will also be presented on June 18 at the Planning Commission.

A **preliminary draft** of the **2009 Housing Element Part Two: Objectives, Policies and Implementation Programs**, which sets forth the policy framework to address the City's housing needs has been released. The draft *Part Two* will be introduced to the Planning Commission on Thursday, June 18th in the Commission Chambers at Room 400 City Hall, and is available for your review on the project website at <http://housingelement2009.sfplanning.org>

Death, Taxes, H2O

Cont'd from p.1

of the \$670 million raided from Hetch Hetchy's revenue bonds. San Francisco's wholesale customers became so concerned that they contacted the State and threatened lawsuits. In 2002, the City was finally forced to act responsibly and begin the long process of "fixing, repairing and seismically upgrading" the ailing Hetch Hetchy water system.

Homeowners, landlords, and eventually renters, will now find the long-term costs of San Francisco's 30+ years of deferred water system maintenance and irresponsible governance appearing on their ever-increasing water bills.

SAN FRANCISCO RESIDENTS ALREADY

CONSERVE WATER: San Franciscans use a very low, 61 gallons of water per day, per person, on average. When asked to voluntarily ration water usage, San Franciscans cut their usage further, to just under 57 gallons per day. Even if normal conditions were to prevail, by 2018 San Franciscans will be required to further cut their average water usage to 54.2 gallons per day. These water usage figures are based only on San Francisco's already-planned development and population growth.

All future development plans must seriously consider how much water will be available to San Francisco (Senate Bill 610).

We have great water in San Francisco; soon, however, we might not be able to afford it. How much should a glass of water cost? While nothing is certain but death and taxes, water rates seem to be pricing us out of a glass of water and a morning shower.

*...George Wooding, Vice President
West of Twin Peaks Central Council
Submitted by Judith Berkowitz (EMIA)*



President's Message

Cont'd from previous column

Staff is asking for us to

- Provide written feedback either online <http://housingelement2009.sfplanning.org> or by writing directly to staff at the address below.
- Attend one of a series of a "listening sessions" to be held with the public throughout the fall;
- Attend future working sessions with the Planning Commission throughout the fall and winter.

For specific questions about the Housing Element contact: Kearstin Dishinger, Project Manager at Kearstin.Dishinger@sfgov.org

This release is only a preliminary draft for review. The project timeline sets aside the summer and fall for staff to work with the CAB and interested community members on changes and edits to that draft so that we can arrive at a final, community-endorsed draft by the end of 2009.

Thanks, to you all, and see you at the Tuesday June 16 CSFN General Assembly meeting!

...Judith Berkowitz (EMIA)

CSFN Draft Minutes General Assembly Meeting May 19, 2009

1. **Call to Order.** President Gary Noguera (MPIC) brought the meeting to order at 7:05 at Northern Police Station.
 - a. *Quorum declared.* Delegates and alternates represented 25 CSFN member organizations. 5 guests signed in. • Sunshine Ordinance Task Force will present the program at an upcoming meeting. • A petition was distributed concerning development in the Presidio.
 - b. *Agenda approved.*
 - c. *Introductions.* Delegates and guests introduced themselves. Host Charles Head, Sunset Heights Assn of Responsible People (SHARP) described his organization's objectives, history, and current issues.
2. **Minutes.** The April 2009 General Assembly draft minutes were approved as printed on p 3 of the May newsletter.
3. **Officers' Reports.**
 - a. **President Noguera** (MPIC) Thanked delegates for their support during the past year of his presidency. Thanks to Nominating Committee for their excellent work in determining candidates for office: Chair Melinda LaValle (CHNA), Kathy Devincenzi (LHIA), Gerry Crowley (THD).
 - b. **1st VP Clark** (RHN)
 - c. **2nd VP Mahan** (EDIA)
 - d. **Recording Secretary Berkowitz** (EMIA) noted that the draft minutes are on p 3 of May NL. • Called attention to and apologized for the error in the organization name on p 6 of May NL. The correct name should have been: Twin Peaks Council & Open Space Conservancy.
 - e. **Corresponding Secretary Millet** (PBNA) The mail has been distributed.
 - f. **Treasurer Lew** (NBN) submitted a written report. A couple of unpaid member organizations still remain.
4. **Special Order of Business** — Election of Officers. See page 5 of May NL for Candidate Statements. Election by acclamation. Running unopposed, it was Moved, 2nd, passed to hold the election by acclamation. Candidates were elected by Acclamation Voice Vote: All Aye, None opposed.
Executive Committee 2009–10:
President – Judith Berkowitz (EMIA)
1st VP – Penelope Clark (RHN)
2nd VP – Angelique Mahan (EDIA)
Recording Secretary – Demian Quesnel (EVPA)
Corresponding Secretary – Dick Millett (PBNA)
Treasurer – Jim Lew (NBN)
Members-at-Large – Sue Cauthen (NBN),
Lorraine Lucas (HAIA), Gary Noguera (MPIC).
5. **Committee Reports**
 - a. **Land Use & Housing:** Chair Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA) noted the Committee report is on page 4 of the May newsletter. • Please note announcement of hearing concerning Discretionary Review (DR) on page 6. The hearing will be held on June 18. • DR process phase 1 and 2. Agree with Pre-App process which was presented at Planning Commission on April 2, but it appears that the Design Review Team now has been assigned discretionary powers that were heretofore not spelled out. CSFN objects to this, even though we had initially applauded the idea of the DRT • 2009 Housing Element Needs Analysis is now available. • Funds for copying the Market-Octavia record

The High Risk of Helicopter Emergency Medical Services — Study results

“The current accident and fatality rate in HEMS transport in unacceptable to industry as a whole, individual operators, regulators and the public.”

The above statement is from the Flight Safety Foundation's April 2009 publication entitled, “Helicopter Emergency Medical Services (HEMS) INDUSTRY RISK PROFILE”

The HEMS industry, Bell Helicopters, sponsored this study as a result of the unprecedented number of medical helicopter crashes in 2008 (13 crashes, 29 deaths). At the same time the National Transportation Safety Board held hearings on this industry's disastrous safety record. The industry hoped to ward off new and expensive federal regulations of the industry, but it didn't take into account the depth and breadth of the foundation's research. Many HEMS providers are now saying they “had no input” and they dispute the results. One reason for the industry's distress is that this study reports on the serious financial and systemic problems underlying any meaningful decrease in fatalities and accidents.

This publication, *the Risk Profile*, found:

- “the current medical reimbursement model (primary payer model) is no longer adequate to provide the appropriate level of financial coverage for either the current operating costs of the service or the impending upgrade of capability required through the addition of technology.”
- “The current context and structure of the HEMS industry does not lend itself to collaboration, ready agreement or a collective response to risk.”
- “The existing regulatory regimes across aviation, healthcare and EMS are not visibly integrated.”

Cont'd on p 5

Draft Minutes

Cont'd from previous column

- still welcomed. • Housing inventory: 10% of units in city are vacant. • Japantown Plan: not what the committee wants. • 2ndry units: lege to “legitimize” not “legalize:” will city penalize non-legitimate unit owners? • Blight legislation.
- b. **Water Task Force:** Chair Joan Girardot (MCIPOA) gave a detailed report on the impacts of the master water sales agreement and the new water and wastewater rate schedule.
6. **Unfinished Business.**
 7. **New Business.** Karen Wood (MPIC) introduced a resolution concerning Community Policing: **Resolved** that CSFN strongly opposes the transfer of district captains without cause and urges the Mayor and Chief of police to discontinue this practice. (See article on p 2 May Newsletter)
 8. **Program.** David Chiu, President of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors addressed the General Assembly and answered our questions.
 9. **Adjournment.** The March CSFN General Assembly meeting was adjourned at 10:00PM.
...Judith Berkowitz (EMIA) Recording Secretary

Land Use & Housing Committee Report

June 8, 2009

The Regular Meeting of the CSFN Land Use & Housing Committee was convened by Chair Hiroshi Fukuda on Monday, June 8, 2009 at 5:42 p.m. in the Community Room of the Northern Police Station at Turk and Fillmore Streets.

The Committee considered the following items:

1. **DISCRETIONARY REVIEW REFORM: DR**
Policy revised to Phase one and Phase two. CSFN opposes these revisions. Strong Pre-Application process is great, but the Design Review Team must not be able to reject DRs. Notify members to attend hearing. LU&H Committee members will send bullet points to president to add to email notice which will be sent out to the List.
2. **Japantown Better Neighborhood Area Plan**
Hearing June 18 — Japan Center
Hearing June 25 to Endorse Plan

The Plan is a work in progress, but Planning Staff and others want to have the Planning Commission “endorse” the Plan. The Plan is the Planning Department’s Plan and not the Community’s.
3. **Housing Element 2004 Update:** City continues to ignore court writ to cease using Housing Element 2004 until an EIR is completed and approved.
4. **Market Octavia:** Send letters to assist with legal fees.
5. **Housing Element 2009 —** Planning will make presentation at CSFN General Assembly in July.
6. **Bike Plan:** Planning Commission moved to initiate process for approving the Bike Plan.
Many individuals are not aware of the lost parking spaces in front of their businesses.

The next regular meeting of the Committee will be held on Monday, July 14, 2009 at 5:30 p.m. in the Community Room of the Northern Police Station located at Turk and Fillmore Streets.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:32 P.M.

...*Hiroshi Fukuda (RCA) Chairman*



How to Reach Us

President: Judith Berkowitz • sfjberk@mac.com • 824-0617
1st VP: Penelope Clark • penelopeclark@yahoo.com
2nd VP: Angelique Mahan • angelmahan@hotmail.com
Recording Secretary: Demian Quesnel • dquesnel@usa.net
Corresponding Secretary: Dick Millet • milletdick@yahoo.com
Treasurer: Jim Lew • emtjal@sbcglobal.net
Member-at-Large: Sue Cauthen • scau1321@aol.com
Member-at-Large: Lorraine Lucas • wozopozo@pacbell.net
Member-at-Large: Gary Noguera • garynoguera@earthlink.net
Parliamentarian: Evelyn Wilson • evelynwilsregparl@earthlink.net

Executive Committee Report

May 27, 2009

The meeting was called to order at 7:10PM by 2nd Vice President Angelique Mahan. Members present were Berkowitz, Mahan, Quesnel, Cauthen, Lucas, Noguera, and Wilson. Excused were Clark, Lew, and Millet.

Officers reports.

President Berkowitz welcomed new board members, and reminded the Board that it was time for annual sign up for the various Committees. She stated that she realizes that we all have other interests and priorities, but asked that we make CSFN business first on our list. She drew our attention to AB1272, which seeks to carve out helipads from CEQA review. On June 18 changes to DR will be before the Planning Commission.

The **program** for June 16th with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force was discussed. It was determined that since Miraloma Park Improvement Club’s Resolution concerning Community Policing was an unfinished business item that both sides need to be heard it would be a more appropriate expansion into the Program for the June meeting and Sunshine Task force would present in August.

The 2009 Housing Element has been Confirmed for the July Program.

President Berkowitz suggested having a CSFN Retreat to set a course of action for the organization. Future production of the Newsletter was also discussed, and it was determined that it would be an agenda item to be brought before the June General Assembly.

Change of meeting time for the ExComm from 7pm to 5:30 was unanimously approved.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:15pm

...*Angelique Mahan (EDIA) Acting Chair*



COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Land Use & Housing • Monday 5:30PM July 13 • Northern Station • Chair Hiroshi Fukuda • ninersam@aol.com • 386-2632
Gov’t & Elections • Chair Melinda LaValle • melindalavalle@aol.com
Bylaws • Chair Evelyn Wilson • evelynwilsregparl@earthlink.net • 566-7826
Open Space • Chair Nancy Wuerfel nancenummer1@aol.com • 731-6432, R. Albright 621-9621
Water Task Force • Chair Joan Girardot • 346-5525
Transportation • Chair Gary Noguera • garynoguera@earthlink.net • 469-8899

Goodbye, Again

The routine rotation of district captains without cause is irredeemably counter-productive to community policing, which requires solid working relationships between captains and community members. These relationships develop over time: it takes several years for a captain to develop meaningful knowledge about his or her district and to gain the trust of residents.

With the departure of Ingleside Station Captain Denis O’Leary and the assignment of Captain David Lazar to command Ingleside, once again, community volunteers undergo the process of orienting and getting to know a new captain who, for his part, must begin the complex process of learning about the Ingleside communities. This is a steep learning curve: intensive knowledge of neighborhoods and their residents requires several years of application. Intensive, rather than superficial, knowledge is what San Francisco community volunteers who work with their officers have come to expect.

Almost a decade ago, during the focus group meetings of the Efficiency Plan process and at so many subsequent community meetings over the years, the consensus has been that community policing requires consistency both in staffing and in communication, two components of good policing that are inseparable. In stark opposition to this view is the practice of transferring to new posts commanding officers who are doing a fine job in their districts and who have forged solid relationships with residents.

The explanation commonly offered for this practice is that frequent rotation of district commanding officers prevents the formation of “fiefdoms”, meaning, one supposes, that long tenure at a district station leads to insubordination in a commanding officer. This concern reflects poorly and without justification on the quality of command staff supervision.

But for the community as a whole, the routine transfer of captains without cause hinders the smooth and well-functioning collaboration of community and Department. It prevents all concerned—captains, residents, and officers, as well—from achieving optimal results from our efforts and enforces on all a relentless cycle of orientation and re-education, again and again. This process presents a disincentive for community volunteers to continue their efforts to build solid community policing relationships since their efforts are repeatedly frustrated.

Substantial publicly funded financial and personnel resources have been expended on consultants and studies aimed at improving the effectiveness of our police force. But this simple measure—allowing captains to remain in their districts as long as their performance is strong—will do more to promote good relationship building and communication than all the studies we taxpayers have funded.

...Karen Wood (MPIC)

(Please see proposed resolution, next column.)

Study: High Risk Medical Helicopters

Cont’d from p 2

- “Safety management systems are only starting to be introduced in the HEMS industry and are not yet sufficiently mature to manage the level and depth of change required in the safety philosophies, processes and practices required.”
- There is no “one accredited agency that provides collective definition, oversight or monitoring” for the industry
- “The broad spectrum of stakeholders to the HEMS industry are not aware of the entire industry risk profile leading to an inability for the industry to effectively identify, analyze and reduce the risks “
- The current industry safety analysis “is not data driven” leading to the inability of the industry “to have an accurate picture of the real safety picture”
- Most current training in the HEMS industry does not use “advanced training methods... predominately due to cost.”
- Because “the person/patient transported by a HEMS operator does not have a choice of carrier, a higher protection or duty of care than that of the [general] traveling public exists.”
- “While the safety of the patient is the most important factor to be considered the safety of the medical personnel and other crew on board is also critically important.”

One of the final conclusions in the report which upset the “Industry” the most was the finding:

- “The current regulatory philosophy combined with the financial risks induced by the funding model of the industry engender a mind set or culture in the HEMS industry to only meet minimum prescribed standards rather than managing risks in the operation through the implementation of better practices.”

...Karen Cliffe (EMIA)



Community Policing Resolution

The Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN) is considering the following resolution proposed by the Miraloma Park Improvement Club:

Whereas the SFPD stated strategic goal of community policing requires consistency both in district station staffing and in communication between officers and community;

Whereas we know from the captains that these working relationships and intensive familiarity with individual policing districts requires three or more years to develop;

Whereas the transfer of district captains without cause disrupts both consistency of staffing and working relationships between community and officers at all levels; therefore be it

Resolved that CSFN strongly opposes the transfer of district captains without cause and urges the Mayor and Chief of police to discontinue this practice.

Japantown Plan Comments

1. An economic analysis for Japantown Plan (JTP) must principally concern itself with the economic prospects and mean for JTP rather *than salvation of the developers investment* (especially if they've over-invested based upon the political direction of the Mayor's cre). Therefore, the economic prospects for JPT must be based upon a professional, objective national/regional market analysis, including a survey, of JTP as a unique, iconic cultural mesa. It has not been done. I hope this is clear.

2. Yamasaki was a mentor and one of my teachers at the U of M. arch. school. I am deeply angry-insulted- that this great example of a great architect made it despite his difficulties as a Japanese American, knowledgeable about Japanese culture, is demeaned by hackneyed journalists and re-tread planned.

3. The city has an economic stake in its ownership of the parking garage under JTP that must be respected and enriched. Redoing the surface use must be seen as an abrogation of the contract between the developer and the parking authority/city... it must not be given away without a meaningful legal challenge right out front. The original contract dealt with the rents the developers owed the city for use of its air space and that was limited. You have the right to demand a copy of this contract and its review by your lawyers. Understand that use of the city's land is normally based upon rents paid for this use based upon the value of what is built on that land and, ultimately, how much revenue that development is brought in.. that is called a "ground rent." A rule of thumb puts that rent at three (3) percent per year of the revenues earned by the use of the air space. Over time, that amount is equal to finance about 30% of the capitalized value of the development so that is money that can be used to finance community benefits including housing and other things... in addition to the "possessory interest" taxes normally charged by the city (equivalent to property taxes). Changing the terms of the city's contract should greatly increase what the developer owes the city for use of its air space.

3. The proposed new zoning is an infringement upon the redevelopment agreement regarding its sale of land and disposition of its urban design structures. Even though the RA is no longer active, the contract remains in force despite so-called disclaimers by the Plan. Dept. and its in-house lawyers. Brett Gladstone is Sequoias' lawyer on this issue.

4. The high-rises will have a pronounced effect on the seismic viability of JTP and everything around it and has not been addressed.

5. Increasing the zoning allowed also raises the value of the land. That has the effect of limiting the ability of affordable housing to buy into the area. That effect is called "adverse possession." Given our illegal housing element absence of an issue with this effect, there must be a legal challenge on it regarding its mitigation or cessation. 5. The so-called JTP advocates for the developer, should be challenged by the Plan. Comm. at the hearing, and in writing to the Comm., as to possible conflicts of interest do to promises by the developer to pay them for their support by, for example, a cash interest in the future development.

6. Despite its unsavory record as an ethically corrupt operation, the redevelopment process is still the best device to extend JTP area benefit to the community but do so without the RA and the Mayor's political hold on it. The citizens of JP can contract with the RA to create their own authority and staff indicating legally that that process will assume compliance with State law in manner ignored by the RA and Mayor.

For all of these reasons, I was thrown out of the so-called

JTP hearings for insisting that the economic resources and means must precede "so-called" urban design persiflage.

...Bernie Choden (CHNA)

THANK YOU TO OUR SPONSORS!

BENEFACTORS

David Winthrop Allen Family Trust
San Francisco Police Officers' Assn

PATRONS

Cole Valley Improvement Assn
Haight Ashbury Improvement Assn
Retired Firemen & Widows Assn of SFFD
San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798

SPONSORS

Barbary Coast Neighbors Assn
Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn
Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Assn
Cow Hollow Assn
Dolores Heights Improvement Club
East Mission Improvement Assn
Forest Knolls Neighborhood Org
Friends of the Music Concourse
Golden Gate Heights Neighborhood Assn
Greater Geary Blvd Merchants & Property Owners Assn
Laurel Heights Improvement Assn
Miraloma Park Improvement Club
Mission Housing Development Corp
OMI Neighbors in Action
Pacific Heights Residents Assn
Panhandle Residents Org Stanyan Fulton (PROSF)
Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Assn
Richmond Community Assn
Russian Hill Neighbors
Sunset Heights Assn of Responsible People (SHARP)
Sunset Parkside Education & Action Cmte (SPEAK)
Telegraph Hill Dwellers
West of Twin Peaks Central Council
Hon Mike Antonini Kathryn Devincenzi, Attorney
John Bardis Mary C & Al Harris
Judith Berkowitz Judy Junghans
Sue Cauthen Hon Fiona Ma
Penny Clark Dick Millet
Sheryl Connell Gary Noguera

Special thanks to Office Depot for photocopying services!



Hosting Schedule

- June** • Twin Peaks Improvement Assn — TPIA
Cathedral Hill Neighbors Assn — CHNA
- July** • Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn — BVNA
Sunset Parkside Education & Action Committee — SPEAK
- Aug** • Cayuga Improvement Assn — CIA
Cole Valley Improvement Assn — CVIA

AGENDA
General Assembly Meeting
June 16, 2009

- 6:30 I. Sign In and Refreshments
- 7:00 II. Call to Order/Ascertain Quorum
 A. Introduction of Delegates and Guests / Short Announcements
 B. Hosts
 1. Twin Peaks Improvement Assn — TPIA
 2. Cathedral Hill Neighbors Assn — CHNA
- 7:10 III. Approval of May 2009 Minutes
- 7:15 IV. Officers' Reports
 A. President
 B. Vice Presidents
 C. Secretaries
 D. Treasurer
- 7:20 V. Committee Action Items — written reports in Newsletter
 A. Land Use & Housing
 B. Open Space
 C. Water Task Force
 D. Transportation
 E. Government & Elections
- 7:40 VI. Unfinished Business — see Program
- 7:50 VII. New Business
- 8:00 VIII. Program: Reso concerning Community Policing (MPIC)
 Speakers: Karen Wood, Mike Naughton, MPIC
 Assistant Chief Cashman , SFPD
- 9:00 IX. Adjournment

*CSFN meets the third Tuesday of each month except for December at Northern Police Station, Turk & Fillmore Streets (Parking in rear off Turk)
 Public Transit: Muni #22 Fillmore, 31 Balboa & 38 Geary Lines*

Visitors Please Sign the Guest Register

Neighborhood Views is published monthly, the official voice of the Coalition for SF Neighborhoods, Inc., a 501(C)4 organization.
 To Submit Articles: Email articles by the 5th of the month to sfiberk@mac.com
 Either inline text or an attached document can be used.
 Articles reflect the opinions of the submitter, not necessarily the opinion of the CSFN. We invite material from member organizations as well as rebuttal to articles already printed. We reserve the right to edit where necessary. Member organizations may receive two copies of the newsletter without charge. Subscription: Members/\$10, Nonmembers/\$15.

*Coalition for SF Neighborhoods
 P.O. Box 320098 San Francisco 94132*

ACTION ITEM
 MPIC — p. 2 Resolution concerning Community Policing

Next Meeting
 Tuesday
 June 16

Contents

- 1 Death, Taxes, and Your Shower
- 2 President's Message
- 3 May Draft Minutes
- 3 High Risk Medical Helicopter Study ...
- 4 LU&H Cmte Report
- 4 May ExComm Report
- 5 Community Policing + Reso
- 6 Japantown Comments